# State of Board of Health Agenda October 24, 2016 – 9:00 a.m. Four Points by Sheraton 4700 South Laburnum Avenue Richmond, Virginia

Note: There is limited seating for members of the public in the meeting room. Seating will be available on a first-come, first served basis. The maximum occupancy of the meeting room is enforced by the Fire Marshal, and cannot be exceeded.

Sign-up sheets for individuals wishing to address the Board during the public comment period will be available.

Call to Order and Welcome Bruce Edwards, Chair

Pledge of Allegiance Faye Prichard

Introductions Mr. Edwards

Review of Agenda Joseph Hilbert

Director of Governmental and Regulatory Affairs

**Public Comment Period** 

Break

Regulations for the Licensure of Abortion Facilities 12VAC5-412

(Final Amendments)

Marissa J. Levine, MD, MPH, FAAFP

State Health Commissioner

Working Lunch

Adjourn

Marissa J. Levine, MD, MPH, FAAFP STATE HEALTH COMMISSIONER

Department of Health
P O BOX 2448
RICHMOND, VA 23218

TTY 7-1-1 OR 1-800-828-1120

## **MEMORANDUM**

DATE: October 5, 2016

TO: Virginia State Board of Health

FROM: Erik Bodin, Director, Office of Licensure and Certification

SUBJECT: Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities (12VAC5-412)

Enclosed for your review are final amendments to the Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities (12VAC5-412).

On May 12, 2014, Governor McAuliffe issued Executive Directive 1 (2014), which directed the Board of Health to conduct a periodic review of 12VAC5-412 "Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities." As a result of the review, the Department of Health determined it was necessary to use the regulatory process to amend these regulations. This regulatory action will amend these regulations to: clarify the requirements for parental consent, insert additional best practices regarding medical testing and laboratory services, insert additional best practices regarding anesthesia service, align the requirements regarding emergency services more specifically with medical best practices, and make minor technical amendments. The regulations are mandated by § 32.1-127 of the Code of Virginia.

In the time since the Board of Health approved proposed amendments at its September 2015 meeting, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt*, 579 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2016). As a result of that June 2016 decision, additional amendments to the regulations were deemed necessary by the Department based on advice from the Office of the Attorney General.

Please note that the Governor has asked that the Board consider making the following additional amendments to the regulations:

1. In Part 1, Definitions and Requirements for Licensure, strike the following language: "'First Trimester' means the first 12 weeks of conception based on an appropriate

clinical estimate by a licensed physician <u>as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76</u> of the Code of Virginia."

- 2. In Section 230(A), after "first trimester of pregnancy" insert the following language: ", meaning 13 weeks and 6 days after last menstrual period or based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed health care provider."
- 3. In Section 230(A), after "estimate by a licensed physician" strike the language: "<u>as</u> determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia."
- 4. In Section 230(B), after "authorized person" strike the language: "which shall be notarized as required by § 16.1-241 of the Code of Virginia."

The Board of Health is requested to approve the final amendments to the Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities. Should the Board of Health approve the final amendments, they will be submitted to the Office of the Attorney General to begin the Executive Branch review process, as specified by the Administrative Process Act. Following Executive Branch review and approval by the Governor, the final amendments will be published in the Virginia Register of Regulations and on the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall website and a 30 day public comment period will begin. At the close of the public comment period the final amendments will become effective.



townhall.virginia.gov

# Final Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Department of Health	
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation(s)	12VAC5-412	
Regulation title(s)	Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities	
Action title	Amend Regulations Following Periodic Review	
Date this document prepared	10/4/2016	

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 17 (2014) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual.* 

## **Brief summary**

Please provide a brief summary of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

On May 12, 2014, Governor McAuliffe issued Executive Directive 1 (2014), which directed the Board of Health to conduct a periodic review of 12VAC5-412 "Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities." As a result of the review, the Department of Health determined it was necessary to use the regulatory process to amend these regulations. This regulatory action will amend these regulations to: remove unnecessary definitions, clarify the requirements for parental consent, insert additional best practices regarding medical testing and laboratory services, insert additional best practices regarding anesthesia service, align the requirements regarding emergency services more specifically with medical best practices, and make minor technical amendments. In the time since the Board of Health approved proposed amendments at its September 2015 meeting, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, 579 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2016). As a result of that June 2016 decision, additional amendments to the regulations were deemed necessary by the Department based on advice from the Office of the Attorney General. The following additional amendments have been proposed: Onsite Inspections—striking certain requirements; Patient's Rights—Striking specific reference to Joint Commission Standards; Infection Control—Striking specific reference to CDC Guidelines; Maintenance—

Striking certain requirements already addressed by existing legal requirements; Firefighting Equipment and Systems—Striking requirements already addressed by existing legal requirements; Design and Construction—Amended to specify that all construction of new buildings and additions, or major renovations to existing buildings for occupancy as an abortion facility shall conform to state and local codes and ordinances.

Form: TH-03

# **Acronyms and Definitions**

Please define all acronyms used in the Agency Background Document. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.

There are no technical terms or acronyms utilized in this document.

# Statement of final agency action

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including:1) the date the action was taken;2) the name of the agency taking the action; and 3) the title of the regulation.

# Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including: 1) the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable; and 2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Your citation should include a specific provision authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency/board/person's overall regulatory authority.

The regulation is promulgated under the authority of § 32.1-127 of the Code of Virginia. Section 32.1-127 of the Code of Virginia requires the Board to promulgate regulations including minimum standards for (i) the construction and maintenance of hospitals, nursing homes and certified nursing facilities to ensure the environmental protection and the life safety of its patients, employees and the public, (ii) the operation, staffing and equipping of hospitals, nursing homes and certified nursing facilities, (iii) qualifications and training of staff of hospitals, nursing homes and certified nursing facilities, except those professionals licensed or certified by the Department of Health Professions, (iv) conditions under which a hospital or nursing home may provide medical and nursing services to patients in their places of residence, and (v) policies related to infection prevention, disaster preparedness, and facility security of hospitals, nursing homes and certified nursing facilities. Facilities in which five or more first trimester abortions per month are performed are classified as a category of hospital for the purposes of this requirement (§ 32.1-127(B)(1)).

## **Purpose**

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Describe the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

On May 12, 2014, Governor McAuliffe issued Executive Directive 1 (2014), which directed the Board of Health to conduct a periodic review of 12VAC5-412 "Regulations for Licensure of Abortion Facilities." As a result of the review, the Department of Health determined it was necessary to use the regulatory process to amend these regulations. This regulatory action will amend these regulations to: clarify the requirements for parental consent, insert additional best practices regarding medical testing and laboratory services, insert additional best practices regarding anesthesia service, align the requirements regarding emergency services more specifically with medical best practices, and make minor technical amendments. The regulations are mandated by § 32.1-127 of the Code of Virginia. The regulations ensure health and safety standards are maintained throughout licensed facilities within the Commonwealth. Additional amendments have been deemed necessary by the Department based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General in light of the U.S. Supreme Court Decision in Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, 579 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2016).

Form: TH-03

## **Substance**

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both.

No new regulatory sections are being proposed. The following amendments are proposed:

## 12VAC5-412-10 Definitions

The terms "medication induced abortion" and "surgical abortion" have been stricken, as they are no longer used in the Regulations based on proposed amendments to 12VAC5-412-370 which have been deemed necessary by the Department based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.

The term "First trimester" has been amended. It now reads: "First trimester means the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia."

The term "Trimester" has been stricken.

## 12VAC5-412-30 Classification

This section has been repealed, as it is unnecessary given language already contained in the Code of Virginia.

## 12VAC5-412-100(C) Onsite Inspection

Subsection C has been stricken. It previously stated: "If the OLC's representative arrives on the premises to conduct a survey and the administrator, the nursing director, or a person authorized to give access to patient records is not available on the premises, such person or the designated alternate shall be available on the premises within one hour of the surveyor's arrival. A list of patients receiving services on the day of the survey as well as a list of all of the abortion facility's patients for the previous 12 months shall be provided to the surveyor within two hours of arrival if requested. Failure to be available or to respond shall be grounds for penalties in accordance with § 32.1-27 of the Code of Virginia and denial, suspension, or revocation of the facility's license in accordance with 12VAC5-412-130."

12VAC5-412-130 Violation of this chapter or applicable law; denial, revocation, or suspension of license Subsection A has been amended. It previously stated: "When the department determines that an abortion facility is (i) in violation of any provision of Article 1 (§ 32.1-123 et seq.) of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 of the Code of Virginia or of any applicable regulation, or (ii) is permitting, aiding, or abetting the commission of any illegal act in the abortion facility, the department may deny, suspend, or revoke the license to operate an abortion facility in accordance with § 32.1-135 of the Code of Virginia."

It now states: "When the department determines that an abortion facility is (i) in violation of §§ 32.1-125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2 or 32.1-137.01 of the Code of Virginia or of any applicable regulation, or (ii) is permitting, aiding, or abetting the commission of any illegal act in the abortion facility,

the department may deny, suspend, or revoke the license to operate an abortion facility in accordance with § 32.1-135 of the Code of Virginia."

Form: TH-03

Subsection B has been amended. It now states: "If a license or certification is revoked as herein provided, a new license or certification may be issued by the commissioner after satisfactory evidence is submitted to him that the conditions upon which revocation was based have been corrected and after proper inspection has been made and compliance with §§ 32.1-125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2 or 32.1-137.01 of the Code of Virginia and applicable state and federal law and regulations hereunder has been obtained."

#### 12VAC5-412-200 Patient Rights

Specific reference to Joint Commission standards in subsection A has been stricken. It now states: "Each abortion facility shall establish a protocol relating to the rights and responsibilities of patients. The protocol shall include a process reasonably designed to inform patients of their rights and responsibilities, in a language or manner they understand. Patients shall be given a copy of their rights and responsibilities upon admission."

#### 12VAC5-412-220 Infection Prevention

Specific reference to CDC Guidelines in subsection A has been stricken. It now states: "The abortion facility shall have an infection prevention plan that encompasses the entire abortion facility and all services provided. An individual with training and expertise in infection prevention shall participate in the development of infection prevention policies and procedures and shall review them to assure they comply with applicable regulations and standards..."

#### 12VAC5-412-230 Patient services; patient counseling

Subsection A has been amended. It previously stated: "Abortions performed in abortion facilities shall be performed only on patients who are within the first trimester of pregnancy based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed physician. It now states: "Abortions performed in abortion facilities shall be performed only on patients who are within the first trimester of pregnancy as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia."

Subsection B has been amended to add a notarization requirement for unemancipated minors. It now states: "No person may perform an abortion upon an unemancipated minor unless informed written consent is obtained from the minor and the minor's parent, guardian, or other authorized person, which shall be notarized as required by § 16.1-241 of the Code of Virginia. If the unemancipated minor elects not to seek the informed written consent of an authorized person, a copy of the court order authorizing the abortion entered pursuant to § 16.1-241 of the Code of Virginia shall be obtained prior to the performance of the abortion."

Subsection E has been amended to add a requirement to maintain policies and procedures for the provision of or referral for family planning services, and to strike a requirement for post abortion counseling policies. It now states: "The abortion facility shall offer each patient seeking an abortion, in a language or manner she understands, appropriate counseling and instruction in the abortion procedure and shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for the provision of or referral for family planning services to its patients."

Subsection F has been amended to remove a requirement for an evaluation of the patient's capacity for self-care and add a requirement for an assessment of a patient's safety for discharge. It now states: "There shall be an organized discharge planning process that includes an assessment of a patient's safety for discharge and discharge instructions for patients to include instructions to call or return if signs of infection develop."

## 12VAC5-412-240 Medical testing and laboratory services

Subsection A has been amended. It previously stated: "...1. Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk. The clinical criteria for such additional testing and the actions

to be taken if abnormal results are found shall be documented. 2. Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor. 3. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for screening of sexually transmitted diseases consistent with current guidelines issued by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The policies and procedures shall address appropriate responses to a positive screening test. 4. A written report of each laboratory test and examination shall be a part of the patient's record."

Form: TH-03

Subsection A now states: "...1. Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor. 2. Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk. 3. A written report of each laboratory test and examination shall be a part of the patient's record."

Subsection C has been amended. It previously stated: "All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be examined to verify that villi or fetal parts are present; if villi or fetal parts cannot be identified with certainty, the tissue specimen shall be sent for further pathologic examination and the patient alerted to the possibility of an ectopic pregnancy, and referred appropriately." This subsection now states: "All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be examined to verify that villi or fetal parts are present. If villi or fetal parts cannot be identified with certainty, the patient shall be notified that pregnancy tissue was not identified and the possibility of ectopic pregnancy shall be explained to the patient. In such cases, the patient shall be offered a pathologic examination of the tissue including a disclosure of the cost and should the patient desire, the tissue specimen shall be sent for further pathologic examination. The facility shall track and log any specimens sent for further pathologic examination.

#### 12VAC5-412-250 Anesthesia Service

Documentation language has been added to subsection C. It now states: "When moderate sedation or conscious sedation is administered, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the anesthesia shall routinely monitor the patient according to procedures consistent with such administration and shall be documented in the patient's medical record."

Documentation language has also been added to subsection H. It now states: "The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures outlining criteria for discharge from anesthesia care. Such criteria shall include stable vital signs, responsiveness and orientation, ability to move voluntarily, controlled pain, and minimal nausea and vomiting. Discharge from anesthesia care is the responsibility of the health care practitioner providing the anesthesia care and shall occur only when the patient has met specific physician-defined criteria and those criteria have been documented within the patient's medical record."

#### 12VAC5-412-290 Emergency Services

Subsection B has been amended to change the reference to the "American Heart Association's Guidelines for Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support" to the "American Heart Association's Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care."

Subsection C has been amended. It previously stated: "A written agreement shall be executed with a licensed general hospital to ensure that any patient of the abortion facility shall receive needed emergency treatment. The agreement shall be with a licensed general hospital capable of providing full surgical, anesthesia, clinical laboratory, and diagnostic radiology service on 30 minutes notice and which has a physician in the hospital and available for emergency service at all times. When emergency transfer is necessary, the responsible physician at the abortion facility must provide direct communication to the emergency department staff regarding the status of the patient, the procedure details, and the suspected complication. All patients must be provided with contact information for a representative of the abortion facility, so that an emergency department physician or treating provider may make contact with a provider of the facility if late complications arise."

Subsection C now states: "When emergency transfer is necessary, the responsible physician at the abortion facility must provide direct communication to the appropriate receiving facility staff regarding the status of the patient, the procedure details, and the suspected complication. All patients must be provided with contact information for a representative of the abortion facility, so that an emergency department physician or treating provider may make contact with a provider of the facility if late complications arise."

Form: TH-03

#### 12VAC5-412-350 Maintenance

Subsection A has been stricken. It previously read: "A. The abortion facility's structure, its component parts, and all equipment such as elevators, heating, cooling, ventilation, and emergency lighting, shall be kept in good repair and operating condition. Areas used by patients shall be maintained in good repair and kept free of hazards. All wooden surfaces shall be sealed with nonlead-based paint, lacquer, varnish, or shellac that will allow sanitization. B. When patient monitoring equipment is utilized, a written preventive maintenance program shall be developed and implemented. This equipment shall be checked and/or tested in accordance with manufacturer's specifications at periodic intervals, not less than annually, to ensure proper operation and a state of good repair. After repairs and/or alterations are made to any equipment, the equipment shall be thoroughly tested for proper operation before it is returned to service. Records shall be maintained on each piece of equipment to indicate its history of testing and maintenance."

Section 350 now states: "When patient monitoring equipment is utilized, a written preventive maintenance program shall be developed and implemented. This equipment shall be checked and/or tested in accordance with manufacturer's specifications at periodic intervals, not less than annually, to ensure proper operation and a state of good repair. After repairs and/or alterations are made to any equipment, the equipment shall be thoroughly tested for proper operation before it is returned to service. Records shall be maintained on each piece of equipment to indicate its history of testing and maintenance."

## 12VAC5-412-360 Firefighting Equipment and Systems

This section has been repealed.

This section previously read: "A. Each abortion facility shall establish a monitoring program for the internal enforcement of all applicable fire and safety laws and regulations and shall designate a responsible employee for the monitoring program. B. All fire protection and alarm systems and other firefighting equipment shall be inspected and tested in accordance with the current edition of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) to maintain them in serviceable condition. C. All corridors and other means of egress or exit from the building shall be maintained clear and free of obstructions in accordance with the current edition of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the Code of Virginia).

## 12VAC5-412-370 Facility Design and Construction

This section has been amended.

The section previously stated: "Abortion facilities shall comply with state and local codes, zoning, and building ordinances and the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code (13VAC5-63). In addition, abortion facilities shall comply with Part 1 and sections 3.1-1 through 3.1-8 and section 3.7 of Part 3 of the 2010 Guidelines for Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities of the Facilities Guidelines Institute, which shall take precedence over the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code pursuant to § 32.1-127.001 of the Code of Virginia. Entities operating as of the effective date of this chapter as identified by the department through submission of Reports of Induced Termination of Pregnancy pursuant to 12VAC5-550-120 or other means and that are now subject to licensure may be licensed in their current buildings if such entities submit a plan with the application for licensure that will bring them into full compliance with this provision within two years from the date of licensure. In order to determine whether the abortion facility is in compliance with this provision, the commissioner may obtain additional information from the facility or its architect concerning the design and construction of the facility."

Section 370 now states: "All construction of new buildings and additions, or major renovations to existing buildings for occupancy as an abortion facility shall comply with all applicable state and local codes and ordinances."

Form: TH-03

#### Documents Incorporated by Reference

This section has been amended to update those documents incorporated by reference to reflect the most current publications, and to remove documents no longer incorporated by reference in the Regulations.

#### **Issues**

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.

The primary advantages of the regulatory action to the public are increased health and safety protections at abortion facilities. The primary disadvantage to the public associated with the regulatory action is some abortion facilities may need to change some of their current operating policies and procedures. This may cause a financial impact on these facilities. That financial impact might be passed on to the facilities' patients. Additional amendments have been deemed necessary by the Department based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General in light of the U.S. Supreme Court Decision in *Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt*, 579 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2016). VDH does not foresee any additional disadvantages to the public. The primary advantage to the agency and the Commonwealth is the promotion of public health and safety. There are no disadvantages associated with the proposed regulatory action in relation to the agency or the Commonwealth.

It is the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards. The Agency, in its regulation of health care facilities, can reference applicable standards governing health care professionals but does not have the authority to redefine or exceed those standards that are within the purview of other Boards.

# Requirements more restrictive than federal

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

There are no applicable federal requirements associated with these regulations.

# Localities particularly affected

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

The proposed amendments are unlikely to adversely affect localities.

# Family impact

Form: TH-03

Please assess the impact of this regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

Parental consent safeguards the family unit as well as parental authority. Clarity regarding parental consent will foster family unity and help to preserve the family as a viable social unit.

# Changes made since the proposed stage

Please list all changes that made to the text of the proposed regulation and the rationale for the changes; explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation. \*Please put an asterisk next to any substantive changes.

Section	Requirement at	What has changed	Rationale for change
number	proposed stage		_
12VAC5- 412-10*	"Medication induced abortion" means any abortion caused solely by the administration of any medication or medications given to a woman in the first trimester of pregnancy with the intent to produce abortion.  "Surgical abortion" means any abortion caused by any means other than solely by the administration of any medication or medications given to a woman in the first trimester of pregnancy with the intent to produce abortion.	These definitions have been stricken.	At the proposed stage, these two defined terms were used only in Section 370. Given the amendments to Section 370, which were proposed based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General, these two terms are no longer used anywhere in the Regulations. Therefore, the two defined terms are no longer necessary.
12VAC5- 412-100 C*	If the OLC's representative arrives on the premises to conduct a survey and the administrator, the nursing director, or a person authorized to give access to patient records is not available on the premises,	Subsection C has been stricken.	This amendment was proposed after Department review based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.

	such person or the designated alternate shall be available on the premises within one hour of the surveyor's arrival. A list of patients receiving services on the day of the survey as well as a list of all of the abortion facility's patients for the previous 12 months shall be provided to the surveyor within two hours of arrival if requested. Failure to be available or to respond shall be grounds for penalties in accordance with § 32.1-27 of the Code of Virginia and denial, suspension, or revocation of the facility's license in accordance with 12VAC5-412-130.		
12VAC5- 412-200 A*	Each abortion facility shall establish a protocol relating to the rights and responsibilities of patients consistent with the current edition of the Joint Commission Standards of Ambulatory Care. The protocol shall include a process reasonably designed to inform patients of their rights and responsibilities, in a language or manner they understand. Patients shall be given a copy of their rights and responsibilities upon admission.	Specific reference to Joint Commission standards has been stricken.  Subsection A now states: Each abortion facility shall establish a protocol relating to the rights and responsibilities of patients. The protocol shall include a process reasonably designed to inform patients of their rights and responsibilities, in a language or manner they understand. Patients shall be given a copy of their rights and responsibilities upon admission.	This amendment was proposed after Department review based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.
12VAC5- 412-220 A*	The abortion facility shall have an infection prevention plan that encompasses the entire abortion facility and all services provided, and which is consistent with the provisions of the current edition of "Guide to Infection Prevention in Outpatient Settings: Minimum Expectations for Safe Care," published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. An individual with training and	Specific reference to CDC Guidelines has been stricken.  Subsection A now states: The abortion facility shall have an infection prevention plan that encompasses the entire abortion facility and all services provided.  An individual with training and expertise in infection prevention shall participate in the development of infection prevention prevention policies and procedures and shall review them to assure they comply with applicable	This amendment was proposed after Department review based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.

	expertise in infection	regulations and standards.	
	prevention shall participate		
	in the development of		
	infection prevention policies		
	and procedures and shall		
	review them to assure they		
	comply with applicable		
	regulations and standards.		
12VAC5-	A. The abortion facility's	Subsection A has been stricken.	This amendment was
412-350*	structure, its component		proposed after
	parts, and all equipment	The section now states: When	Department review based
	such as elevators, heating,	patient monitoring equipment is	on advice from the
	cooling, ventilation, and	utilized, a written preventive	Virginia Office of the
	emergency lighting, shall be	maintenance program shall be	Attorney General.
	kept in good repair and	developed and implemented. This	
	operating condition. Areas	equipment shall be checked and/or	
	used by patients shall be	tested in accordance with	
	maintained in good repair	manufacturer's specifications at	
	and kept free of hazards. All	periodic intervals, not less than	
	wooden surfaces shall be	annually, to ensure proper	
	sealed with non-lead-based	operation and a state of good	
	paint, lacquer, varnish, or	repair. After repairs and/or	
	shellac that will allow	alterations are made to any	
	sanitization.	equipment, the equipment shall be	
	B. When patient monitoring	thoroughly tested for proper	
	equipment is utilized, a written preventive	operation before it is returned to service. Records shall be	
	maintenance program shall	maintained on each piece of	
	be developed and	equipment to indicate its history of	
	implemented. This	testing and maintenance.	
	equipment shall be checked	testing and maintenance.	
	and/or tested in accordance		
	with manufacturer's		
	specifications at periodic		
	intervals, not less than		
	annually, to ensure proper		
	operation and a state of		
	good repair. After repairs		
	and/or alterations are made		
	to any equipment, the		
	equipment shall be		
	thoroughly tested for proper		
	operation before it is		
	returned to service. Records		
	shall be maintained on each		
	piece of equipment to		
	indicate its history of testing		
	and maintenance.		
12VAC5-	A. Each abortion facility	This section has been repealed.	This amendment was
412-360*	shall establish a monitoring	<u>'</u>	proposed after
	program for the internal		consultation with the
	enforcement of all		Virginia Office of the
	applicable fire and safety		Attorney General
	laws and regulations and		because compliance with
	shall designate a		applicable fire and safety

	responsible employee for		laws and regulations is
	the monitoring program.		required by 12VAC5-412-
	B. All fire protection and		370 as amended.
	alarm systems and other		
	firefighting equipment shall		
	be inspected and tested in		
	accordance with the current		
	edition of the Virginia		
	Statewide Fire Prevention		
	Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the		
	Code of Virginia) to maintain		
	them in serviceable		
	condition.		
	C. All corridors and other		
	means of egress or exit from		
	the building shall be		
	maintained clear and free of		
	obstructions in accordance		
	with the current edition of		
	the Virginia Statewide Fire		
	Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et		
	seq. of the Code of Virginia).		
12VAC5-	A. All construction of new	This section has been amended.	This amendment was
412-370*		This section has been amended.	proposed based on
412-370	buildings and additions or	The coation new states: All	1
	major renovations to	The section now states: All	advice from the Virginia
	existing buildings for	construction of new buildings and	Office of the Attorney General.
	occupancy as an abortion	additions, or major renovations to	General.
	facility shall conform to state	existing buildings for occupancy as	
	and local codes, and zoning	an abortion facility shall comply	
	ordinances and the Virginia	with all applicable state and local codes and ordinances.	
	Uniform Statewide Building	codes and ordinances.	
	Code (13VAC5-63). All		
	construction of new		
	buildings and additions or		
	major renovations to		
	existing buildings for		
	occupancy as an abortion		
	facility that perform only		
	surgical abortions or a		
	combination of surgical and		
	medication induced		
	abortions shall be designed		
	and constructed consistent		
	with Part 1 and section 3.8		
	of Part 3 of the Guidelines		
	for Design and Construction		
	of Hospitals and Outpatient		
	Facilities, 2014 edition, The		
	Facilities Guidelines Institute		
	(2014 guidelines), pursuant		
	to § 32.1-127.001 of the		
	Code of Virginia. Abortion		
	facilities that perform only		
	medication induced		
	abortions shall be designed		

and constructed consistent with sections 1.1. 1.3 and 1.4 of Part 1 of the 2014 guidelines. Abortion procedures may take place in a procedure room, as detailed in Section 3.8-3.1 of Part 3 of the 2014 guidelines, except that minimum square footage requirements for procedure rooms used for the provision of surgical abortion do not need to be greater than 120 square feet, with a minimum room dimension of 10 feet and a minimum clear dimension of 3 feet at each side and at the foot of the bed. Rooms designed in accordance with Section 3.8-3.2 of Part 3 of the 2014 guidelines are not required for abortion facilities. Section 3.7-3.6.13.1(2) of Part 3 of the 2014 guidelines shall not apply to facilities that do not have a room designed in accordance with section 3.8-3.2. Architectural drawings and specifications for all new construction or for additions, alterations, or renovations to any existing building shall be dated, stamped with professional seal, and signed by the architect. The architect shall certify that the drawings and specifications were prepared to conform to the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code (13VAC5-63) and be consistent with the applicable sections of the 2014 guidelines. The certification shall be forwarded to the Office of Licensure and Certification of the Virginia Department of Health. B. In order to determine

1	
whether the abortion	
facility's design and	
construction is consistent	
with the applicable sections	
of the 2014 guidelines, the	
commissioner may obtain	
additional information from	
the facility or its architect.	

## **Public comment**

Please <u>summarize</u> all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the proposed stage, and provide the agency response. If no comment was received, please so indicate. Please distinguish between comments received on Town Hall versus those made in a public hearing or submitted directly to the agency or board.

There were 9,114 total comments received. Of those total comments 3,319 individuals made more than one comment leaving 5,795 non duplicate individual comments. Of the total non-duplicate comments received 5,210 were in support of the regulatory action and 585 were in opposition.

#### Virginia Regulatory Town Hall

693 comments were made on the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall. Of those 693 comments 62 were duplicates leaving 631 non duplicate comments. 569 comments were in opposition to the regulatory action and 62 comments were in support of the regulatory action.

#### Hand Delivered Letters

2,380 letters were hand delivered with 263 duplicates for a total of 2,117 non duplicates. 2,115 letters submitted were in support of the regulatory action and 2 letters were in opposition of the regulatory action.

#### Letters Received Via Email

6,008 letters were received via email. There were 2,990 duplicates for a total of 3,018 non-duplicates. 3,017 were in support of the regulatory action and 1 was in opposition.

#### **Public Hearing**

33 people spoke during a public hearing held by the Virginia Board of Health. Four people that spoke also commented via letter/email. 16 spoke in support of the regulatory action and 13 were in opposition.

Commenter	Comment	Agency response
The Honorable Kaye Kory, Virginia House of Delegates via letter.	Asking Board of Health to amend the regulations of abortion providers in the Commonwealth to reflect medical evidence and remove any undue burden on a woman's ability and right to abortion.	The Agency notes the support for the proposed amendments.
Sara Shannon via letter.	Asking Board of Health to follow the Supreme Court's ruling in Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt.	Additional amendments have been proposed to comply with the Supreme Court's ruling.
John Comerford via letter.	Asking the Board to maintain the current Virginia regulations.	The Agency notes the opposition to the proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-127.

Masiel G	Asking the Governor to insert new policies	The Agency notes the comments, but
Vergara via	that will prevent abortion.	abolishing abortion is beyond the scope and
letter.		authority of these regulations.
Angel A Ruiz via	Asking the Governor to insert new policies	The Agency notes the comments, but
letter.	that will prevent abortion.	abolishing abortion is beyond the scope and
		authority of these regulations.
Rodrigo	Deep support for the amendments	The Agency notes the support for the
Velasquez via	proposed.	proposed amendments.
letter.		
Maggie Disney,	Asking the Board to reject the proposed	The Agency notes the opposition to the
Margarette	amendments.	proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is
Botham, Josh		written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-
Hetzler, Chris		127.
Freund, Victoria		
Cobb, Jeff		
Caruso, LaDean		
Barnes, Daniel		
Howell, Jill		
Zackrisson,		
Richard Wiley,		
Don Blake, and		
Barry Hodges		
via Public		
Hearing.	Cupports the proposed amendments and	The Agency notes the current for the
Janice Craft and Janet Dix via	Supports the proposed amendments and the Governor's recommendations.	The Agency notes the support for the
	the Governor's recommendations.	proposed amendments as well as support for the Governor's recommendations.
Public Hearing  Martha Cassell	Wants all safety regulations in place and	12VAC5-412 is written to comply with
via Public	there is another life to consider.	Virginia Code § 32.1-127.
Hearing	there is another life to consider.	Virginia Code § 32.1-127.
Pam Messina	Wants strong regulations.	12VAC5-412 is written to comply with
via Public	Wants strong regulations.	Virginia Code § 32.1-127.
Hearing		Virginia Code 3 02.1 127.
Heather	Asking Board of Health to adopt the	The Agency notes the support for the
Shumaker,	proposed amendments.	proposed amendments.
Miguel Davies,	proposed amenamento.	proposed amenamente.
Anna Sholl, Jill		
Abbey, Sarena		
Floyd, Rebecca		
Gutwalt,		
Margaret Beth		
Meyer, Elisa		
Pharo, Laura		
Foronda, Ida		
Dawnhildie,		
Charissa Davis,		
Gail Deady,		
Cianti Reed,		
Rachel		
Robinstein,		
Nichole Grim,		
Michelle Wooten		
via Public		
Hearing		

Kenneth Oshhanski via	Make policies based on science not politics.	The Agency believes that no response is necessary for these comments as they do
Public Hearing	pointes.	not speak to the proposed amendments.
530 persons commented via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall in opposition to the proposed	Asking the Board to reject the amendments and keep the regulations as is, or to strengthen them in a non-specific way, to protect women's health.	The Agency notes the opposition to the proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is written to comply with the Virginia Code § 32.1-127.
amendments.  29 persons commented via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall in support of the proposed amendments.	Asking the Board to adopt the amendments.	The Agency notes the support for the proposed amendments.
28 persons commented via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall in opposition to abortion or with comments not specific to the proposed amendments.	Commenters asked that abortion be abolished or offered quotes from scripture.	The Agency notes the comments, but abolishing abortion is beyond the scope and authority of these regulations.
26 persons commented via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall in support of abolishing TRAP regulations.	Commenters asked the Board to abolish the "TRAP" regulations and classified them as unnecessary and a barrier to a woman's right to an abortion.	The Agency notes the comments, but abolishing abortion facility regulations is beyond the scope and authority of the Board as the Code of Virginia requires the regulations.
Barbra Jill McCabe, MD, FAAP, Commonwealth Emergency Physicians via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall	I generally support the Board of Health's proposed amendments to the regulations for the licensure of abortion facilities in the Commonwealth. I strongly support the governor's recommendations for the regulations for the licensure of abortion facilities in the Commonwealth.  As an emergency physician, I frequently care for pregnant patients, manage emergency complications of pregnancy, perform procedures with sedation. As a hospital administrator I oversee quality and safety for my department and the hospital in which I work, I am very familiar with the federal guidelines for that govern preparedness of emergency departments across the commonwealth of Virginia.	The Agency notes the support for the proposed amendments as well as the Governor's recommendations.

I SUPPORT the Board's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-250, regarding documentation of anesthesia services in the patient record. I routinely perform procedural sedation and the recommendation is consistent with current standards. "Emergency Cardiovascular Care" has replaced "Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support" as defined by the American Heart Association. Capacity to perform "Emergency Cardiovascular Care" is adequate to provide sedation in the outpatient clinic setting.

The Agency notes the support for the recommended amendments to 12VAC5-412-250-Anesthesia Service.

Form: TH-03

I OPPOSE the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-10 that "first trimester" of pregnancy be defined as "the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia." Similarly, I OPPOSE the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-230(A) that "Abortions performed in abortion facilities shall be performed only on patients who are within the first trimester of pregnancy based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed physician as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia."

The Agency notes the opposition to the regulation. The comments did not provide any suggested amendments to specific sections of the proposed regulations. 12VAC5-412 is written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-127.

In addition, I SUPPORT Governor Terry McAuliffe's recommendation for Section 12VAC5-412-230 that "first trimester" of pregnancy be defined as "13 weeks and 6 days after last menstrual period or based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed health care provider."

The Agency notes the support for the Governor's recommendations.

In the healthcare environment, it is universally accepted that the pregnancy length be determined by the first date of the last menstrual period, and that along with physical exam are sufficient to determine gestational age. Likewise, the first trimester of pregnancy is defined as a gestational age through 13 weeks and 6 days. The second trimester begins at 14 weeks.

The Agency notes the support for a past recommended amendment to 12VAC5-412-10-Definitions. The Agency is no longer recommending this amendment as the

I SUPPORT the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-10 to separately define "medication induced abortion" and "surgical abortion" and

SUPPORT the substance of those terms are no longer used in the Regulations definitions. Although both methods are based on advice from the Virginia Office of reasonable and safe alternatives for the Attorney General. women seeking termination of pregnancy, they should be defined separately as they are different procedures. I SUPPORT the Board's recommended The Agency notes the support for the amendments to Section 12VAC5-412proposed amendments to 12VAC5-412-370, regarding design and construction 370-Facility Design and Construction as standards for abortion facilities, and well as the Governor's recommendations. SUPPORT Governor McAuliffe's additional recommended amendments to this section. There is abundant evidence that pregnancy terminations can be performed safely in freestanding clinics and in the office setting with proper equipment for performing in office surgical procedures. In fact, when compared with hospitals, the complication rate for the procedure is greater for those performed in hospitals, and at best equal when adjusted for pre-existing medical conditions. Surgical procedures are routinely performed in the office setting, and there is no medical evidence supporting the need to replicate the physical environment of the hospital to improve safety. I SUPPORT the Board's recommended The Agency notes the support for the amendments to Section 12VAC5-412proposed amendments to 12VAC5-412-290, regarding emergency services. In 290-Emergency Services. order to be compliant with EMTALA, **Emergency Departments and Hospitals** throughout the Commonwealth must be prepared to manage patients needing emergency care after office surgical procedures. There are no other circumstances in which an outpatient facility must have a pre-determined contract for emergency services. Furthermore, upcoming national **Emergency Department Quality** Standards include preparedness for managing pregnancy and complications, including those related to termination. Bruce Kemp via The May 2, 2016 issue of Virginia The Agency notes the opposition to a past Register of Regulations purports to make the Virginia proposed amendment. The Agency is no Regulatory Town a "technical change" with the proposed longer recommending this amendment as Hall addition of the terms "medication induced the terms are no longer used in the abortion" and "surgical abortion" in order Regulations based on proposed amendments to 12VAC5-412-370 which to "tailor the facility design and construction guidelines more precisely to have been offered based on advice from the

Form: TH-03

Virginia Office of the Attorney General.

the requirements of each facility." The

limited public record of the actions taking place within many of these clinics is enough to show that this distinction between types of abortions, and therefore a need for different design standards, is unwarranted.

For example, according to an inspection report at the Virginia Women's Wellness Center in Virginia Beach, it was discovered that for 36.6 percent of

For example, according to an inspection report at the Virginia Women's Wellness Center in Virginia Beach, it was discovered that for 36.6 percent of patients that had medication abortions in January 2014, a repeat medication dose or a surgical procedure was required to complete the abortion. And these are just the ones we know about from that facility. Other inspection reports indicate similar issues. The women in these scenarios can often require additional surgical procedures to complete the abortion process. It only makes common and medical sense that the women would return to the same clinic in order to address the failed medication abortion.

Furthermore, according to the FDA, RU-486 is only to be used until 49 days gestation and if used according to FDA guidelines has an 8 percent failure rate. The farther along in gestation a woman is, the more likely it is that RU-486 will fail. According to the New England Journal of Medicine, statistics indicate that there is a 17 percent failure rate at 50-55 days, and a 23 percent failure rate at 57-63 days. Virginia Women's Wellness' rate of 36.6 percent failure is over four times the average.

Knowing these facts and realizing that this scenario is the case for many women undergoing abortions, it is disingenuous at best, and volatile to women's health at worst, to change the regulations to create such a distinction in health and safety standards among clinics. I recommend keeping the current regulations.

David R. Barrett, Immediate Past Chairman of The Family Foundation of VA via the Virginia Regulatory Town The rationale given by Commissioner
Levine and VDH for eliminating the written
agreement was, "The written agreement
is not necessary due to the Emergency
Medical Treatment and Labor Act
(EMTALA). Some facilities may not be
able to obtain such written agreements as
the closest hospital may refuse to enter

The Agency notes the opposition to the proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-127.

Form: TH-03

The Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA) is established in Section 1867 of the Social Security Act. It

Hall

into such an agreement for a variety of reasons. Likely impact: Less burdensome regulations."

EMTALA is not a replacement for a written agreement. EMTALA is an "antidumping" law, designed to prevent hospitals from transferring uninsured or Medicaid patients without at least providing a basic medical screening examination.

As the Commissioner noted during discussion from the September 2015 BOH meeting, this requirement is already in the Board of Medicine Office-based Anesthesia regulations, so how can it be too burdensome? If it is too burdensome, does the Department of Health still expect abortion facilities to come into compliance with the Office-based Anesthesia transfer agreement requirement?

Does the federal government think that a written transfer agreement is too burdensome for all providers of Medicaid/Medicare patients? Federal regulations for CMS services require a written agreement between hospitals and outpatient facilities. If a written agreement is not currently in place, every physician performing surgery must have admitting privileges at a nearby hospital. Fifteen states require facilities to have hospital transfer agreements in place, including our neighbor to the South, North Carolina. Another 15 require either a transfer agreement or hospital admitting privileges, including our neighbor to the North, Maryland. Written transfer agreements are appropriate and serve a purpose in the rest of the medical community.

All of VA's abortion facilities currently have a written agreement. As far as we know, there has never been a deficiency cited at any abortion facility regarding a written agreement. To remove written agreements from the regulations would not serve the purpose of amending the abortion facility regulations as stated in the regulatory action documents... "Upon review, the Department of Health found areas of the regulations which could be

imposes specific obligations on Medicareparticipating hospitals that offer emergency services to provide a medical screening examination when a request is made for examination or treatment for an emergency medical condition, including active labor, regardless of an individual's ability to pay. Therefore, EMTALA does ensure that patients presenting with emergency medical conditions receive appropriate treatment. Reference:

Form: TH-03

https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/EMTALA/index.html

The referenced amendment does not change the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards. The Agency, in its regulation of health care facilities, can reference applicable standards governing health care professionals but does not have the authority to redefine or exceed those standards that are within the purview of other Boards.

The Board of Medicine's regulations for the use of office-based anesthesia currently require physicians to have a transfer agreement if they use moderate or greater sedation, and that is the Agency's expectation.

improved, therefore protecting the health and safety of patients of these facilities to a higher degree." Removal of this requirement will lead to less patient care and safety. The health of patients would not be protected nor improved. I recommend keeping the written transfer agreements in the abortion facility regulations.

Form: TH-03

At the very least, the Commissioner and VDH should not remove the requirement that facilities should be adequately prepared if and when emergencies arise. Even if one believes abortion facilities are unable to secure transfer agreements, the provisions for the transfer agreement should still be made a part of Emergency Services. An effective emergency transfer depends upon the existence of an established procedure, which is why this is just good medical practice, whether mandated by accrediting agencies or government regulations, or not.

In the absence of a written agreement, more information regarding emergency situations needs to be included in this section. I suggest using language from Maryland's abortion regulations, as follows:

"The abortion facility shall have an effective procedure for the transfer of patients to a nearby hospital when care beyond the capabilities of the facility is required. Procedures for emergency transfer to a hospital shall include, at a minimum:

- (1) Written protocols and procedures related to emergency transfer procedures;
- (2) A mechanism for authorization and notification of the hospital of a pending emergency case;
- (3) A mechanism for arranging appropriate transportation to the hospital;
- (4) Protocols for transmitting a copy of the patient's medical record to the hospital; and

(5) Appropriate training for staff in the facility's written protocols and procedures When emergency transfer is necessary, the responsible physician at the abortion facility must provide direct communication to appropriate receiving facility staff regarding the status of the patient, the procedure details, and the suspected complication. All patients must be provided with contact information for a representative of the abortion facility, so that an emergency department physician or treating provider may make contact with a provider of the facility if late complications arise." In section 230(B), after "authorized Jeff Caruso, The Agency notes the opposition to the Virginia Catholic person", the Governor proposes striking Governor's recommendations. The Agency Conference via "which shall be notarized as required by has not proposed the amendment that the the Virginia sec. 16.1-241 of the Code of Virginia." commenter references. Regulatory Town There are two problems with this: The Hall proposal is technically flawed. There is no word "which" following the words "authorized person" in the document containing the Board's proposed amendments. After "authorized person", another sentence begins. It says, "The informed written consent shall be notarized as required by sec. 16.1-241 of the Code of Virginia." The requirement in the Code of Virginia that the written consent of the minor's parent, quardian or other authorized person be notarized should be reflected in this regulation as well. Code sections are referenced throughout these regulations. The Code section for the notarization requirement should certainly be referenced too. That requirement is no less important than others. In addition, differentiating between safety requirements for facilities that perform surgical abortions and safety requirements for facilities that perform chemical abortions, as the proposed amendments do. is overly simplistic and misguided. RU-486 is not just "writing a prescription." Sometimes it does not result in a complete abortion, and the patient comes back for a surgical procedure. Abortion ends lives and is not health care. But as long as abortion centers are permitted to operate, they must not be allowed to self-regulate. The recent

suspension of abortions at a Fairfax facility due to 26 health and safety violations shows this all too well. Strong abortion center regulations are essential for the health and safety of Virginia women and must be maintained not weakened. Victoria Cobb, The Department of Health (VDH) recently The Agency notes the opposition to the Family suspended the license of an abortion regulatory action. The comments did not Foundation of center in Fairfax, owned by Dr. Steven provide any suggested amendments to Virginia via the Brigham. This action came after an specific sections of the proposed inspection discovered dozens of health regulations. 12 VAC 5-412 is written to Virginia Regulatory Town and safety violations. The inspection comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-127. Hall report itself is 52 pages long. We have for years brought Dr. Brigham's record of disregard for health and safety to the Board's attention. Quite frankly, he should not be allowed to operate any type of facility in Virginia. According to newspaper reports, Pennsylvania "banished" him from that state because of a similar record of safety violations, and New Jersey has ordered him to sell his abortion centers in that state. It has become abundantly clear he should not be allowed to own a facility in Virginia. Regardless of "plans of correction," regardless of promises, he has made it clear that he does not care about women's health and safety. In addition, some of the amendments adopted by the Board to make to the existing health and safety standards would make it easier for the likes of Steven Brigham to operate in Virginia. The suggested amendments would make it easier for the abortion industry to deceive women into believing they've had an abortion when they haven't. The Planned Parenthood in Richmond did just that, whether intentionally or simply through neglect, as was revealed in the August 2015 inspection report of that abortion center. Under current regulations, the "conception material" obtained from the abortion must be sent to a lab to verify that is indeed a human being. The proposed changes would leave it up to the abortion center to make that determination, mislead patients, either intentionally or accidentally because of improperly or poorly trained

staff. 2015 inspection report of that abortion center. Under current regulations, the "conception material" obtained from the abortion must be sent to a lab to verify that is indeed a human being. The proposed changes would leave it up to the abortion center to make that determination, mislead patients, either intentionally or accidentally because of improperly or poorly trained staff.

Incredibly, at the abortion center in Fairfax that had its license suspended recently, violations include a lack of training for staff who were assigned to determine if the "material" was indeed a baby. The staff member given the task said, "Well (another staff member) came and showed me how a couple of times and then the rest I learned from other staff members. I catch on quick...." Clearly, some abortion centers do not care or are not well-staffed enough to properly analyze the "conception material" (that would be the human baby) that is disposed of during an abortion.

Another change adopted by the Board is a top goal of the abortion industry: differentiate between surgical abortions and so-called "medication" abortions (i.e. chemical abortions). The industry claims that with "medication" abortions, all they do is "write a prescription," but that is far from the truth. They want to differentiate because they claim that some abortion centers do "only medication" abortions and therefore shouldn't be held to the same health and safety standards as those that do surgical abortions.

Yet, according to inspection reports at the Virginia Women's Wellness Center in Virginia Beach, again owned by Dr. Steven Brigham, it was discovered that for 36.6 percent of patients that had medication abortions in January 2014, a repeat medication dose or a surgical procedure was required to complete the abortion. According to the FDA, RU-486 is only to be used until 49 days gestation and if used according to FDA guidelines has an 8 percent failure rate. The farther along in gestation a woman is, the more

The Agency notes the opposition to a past proposed amendment. The Agency is no longer recommending this amendment as the terms are no longer used in the Regulations based on proposed amendments to 12VAC5-412-370 which have been offered based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.

likely it is that RU-486 will fail. According to the New England Journal of Medicine, statistics indicate that there is a 17 percent failure rate at 50-55 days, and a 23 percent failure rate at 57-63 days. Virginia Women's Wellness' rate of 36.6 percent failure is over four times the average. Its plan to correct the problem: "These cases will no longer be documented in the complication log."

Form: TH-03

While some members of the Board may believe the changes being made to the health and safety standards that theoretically make them more in line with "standard medical practice," the fact is that people like Brigham don't operate according to any standard medical practice or with the best interest of their patients in mind.

You may also believe that Dr. Brigham is a "rogue" operator who is an outlier from the norm in Virginia. Let's take a look at just a couple of other abortion center owners and operators in Virginia:

Diane Derzis has operated abortion centers across the nation, including A Capital Women's Health Center in Richmond. The Administrator of A Capital Women's Health Center, Shelley Abrams (formerly Shelley Statum), was the former director of Derzis' New Woman All Women Health Center (Birmingham, AL) as well as the director of Derzis' Mississippi center – Jackson Women's Health Organization. A significant number of Derzis' abortion centers have been closed due to egregious health and safety violations. In 2012, Derzis was ordered to shut down her New Woman All Women abortion clinic in Birmingham, Alabama, after inspectors found 76 pages of violations following the hospitalization of three patients in one day for abortion complications. Officials have been fighting to close her Mississippi abortion center for years. William Fitzhugh owns/operates abortion

centers in Richmond, Charlottesville,
Roanoke and Newport News. Fitzhugh's
abortion centers have been found to have
a litany of health and safety violations,
including unlicensed staff members

	illegally mixing drugs for patients and	
	transporting narcotics from one facility to	
	another with no record or documentation.	
	In fact, the Charlottesville facility had no	
	records in accordance with federal and	
	state laws regarding the drugs used at the	
	facility, including Schedule II narcotics like	
	Fentanyl, a drug now becoming a major problem in the drug trade. Fitzhugh	
	administered medications and wrote	
	prescriptions at his Charlottesville facility	
	without a current DEA number for that	
	abortion center. For multiple violations of	
	state and federal drug laws Fitzhugh	
	received nothing more than a reprimand.	
	In 1989, Fitzhugh was involved in a	
	botched abortion on Margaret Codfelter,	
	in which he perforated her uterus and left	
	parts of the dead baby inside. He sent her	
	home without informing her of her life-	
	threatening condition. Margaret died two	
	days later.	
	Between them, Brigham, Derzis and	
	Fitzhugh own and operate seven of the	
	sixteen (fifteen operating with the Fairfax	
	suspension) abortion centers in Virginia.	
	And that's really just the tip of the iceberg	
	when it comes to these "rogue" operators.	
	They each have a long history of	
	mistreatment of patients and disregard for	
	health and safety standards. Reviews of	
	the inspection reports of other	
	independently operated abortion centers	
	(i.e. not owned by Planned Parenthood)	
	are similarly disgusting.	
	I recognize that "evidence based	
	medicine" is a catchy phrase to hide	
	behind when changing these health and	
	safety standards, but the amendments	
	you've adopted fly in the face of all the	
	evidence found through inspection	
	reports. If nothing else, the Brigham	
	incident is yet another reminder that all	
	the promises by representatives of the	
	abortion industry that abortion centers in	
	Virginia are safe and well maintained	
	were blatant lies.	
Dorothea Henry	The most recent suspension of the	
via the Virginia	abortion facility license of Steve	
Regulatory Town	Brigham's Virginia Health Group in	
Hall	Fairfax, VA with 52 pages of inspection	
	violations, and the inspection wasn't even	
	completed, is cause for concern. It is long	
	past time for Steve Brigham to stop	

operating facilities in Virginia, or anywhere in the US.

According to the Washington Post, "Brigham has had his medical license temporarily suspended, relinquished or revoked or has faced criminal charges in several states, including New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Florida and California." Form: TH-03

Vicki Saporta, president of the National Abortion Federation, said in a statement, "Evidence of wrongdoing at Brigham's American Women's Services facility in Fairfax is part of a clear pattern of repeated and serious misconduct that poses a significant threat to patient safety, and which cannot be allowed to go unchecked in Virginia."

Vicki Saporta also stated back in 2012, responding to a botched abortion in which a woman was driven to an ER and dropped off by Mr. Brigham, and subsequently found nearly three dozen viable fetuses in his clinic's freezer, "Steve Brigham is a substandard provider and should not be practicing medicine or running an abortion clinic anywhere in the United States."

It's time that the Health Commissioner, VDH and the Board of Health to prohibit Steve Brigham from operating abortion facilities in Virginia. He has clearly shown a track record of abhorrent healthcare practices in no less than five states. We must not wait until someone dies.

To that end, we must add a clause into the abortion regulations that allows for the denial of a license to applicants like Mr. Brigham, whose conduct has caused the revocation of a prior license. Mr. Brigham owns four facilities in Maryland, one in which a patient died from a heart attack, the physician wasn't certified in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and a defibrillator at the facility didn't work. His other clinics have been suspended multiple times. In response, Maryland reevaluated its abortion regulations, and as a result, added the following language:

	Denial of License for Prior Revocation or Consent to Surrender License.	
	(1) The department may deny a license to:	
	(a) A corporate applicant if the corporate entity has an owner, director, or officer:	
	(i) Whose conduct caused the revocation of a prior license; or	
	(ii) Who held the same or similar position in another corporate entity which had its license revoked;	
	(b) An individual applicant:	
	(i) Whose conduct caused the revocation of a prior license; or	
	(ii) Who held a position as owner, director, or officer in a corporate entity which had its license revoked; or	
	(c) An individual or corporate applicant that has consented to surrender a license as a result of a license revocation action.	
	According to 12VAC5-412-130, "the department may deny, suspend, or revoke the license to operate an abortion facility." Therefore I recommend adding this language to Virginia's Abortion Regulations 12VAC5-412-130. Denial, Revocation, or Suspension of License.	The Agency notes the recommendation and shall consider it for inclusion as a part of a future regulatory action.
	Thank you for considering my concern and all that you do for Virginia.	
Amanda Allen, Center for	Majority of proposed amendments are a step in the right direction	
Reproductive Rights via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall	I. The Center for Reproductive Rights supports the majority of the proposed amendments to the current abortion regulations proposed by the Board of Health, and all of the proposed amendments to the current abortion regulations proposed by Governor McAuliffe.	The Agency notes the support for the proposed amendments as well as the Governor's recommendations.
	We write to express support for the majority of the proposed Board of Health amendments to the regulations governing first trimester abortion provision, and to	

oppose two of these proposed amendments. We further write to express strong support for Governor Terry McAuliffe's recommendations regarding these regulations.

Form: TH-03

The Center for Reproductive Rights (CRR) is a non-profit legal advocacy organization. In the United States, we have litigated cases in federal and state courts to ensure that governments at all levels do not infringe upon the constitutionally protected right of women to decide whether and when to bear children. We have successfully litigated several cases to prevent governments from imposing medically inappropriate regulations on abortion providers to the detriment of women seeking abortion care. Indeed, on June 27, 2016, in a challenge CRR brought on behalf of independent abortion providers in Texas, the U.S. Supreme Court struck down Texas restrictions similar to some of the existing regulations at issue in this comment that imposed medically unjustifiable requirements on abortion facilities.

Governor's proposed amendments will likely cure the presumptively unconstitutional requirement that abortion facilities conform to hospital-like building standards, as applied to existing first trimester facilities. See Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt. --- S.Ct. ----, 2016 WL 3461560, at \*2 (2016) (holding that a law that regulates abortion is unconstitutional unless the medical benefits outweigh the burden on access to abortion, and specifically holding that Texas's regulations requiring abortion clinics to conform to hospital-like standards are unconstitutional because they fail this balancing test.). We applaud the Board and Governor for taking steps to ensure these regulations reflect medical evidence and do not infringe upon women's constitutional rights or threaten their health. Below we describe our support for proposed amendments to the regulations on abortion clinics regarding 1) medication abortion and construction requirements, 2) transfer agreements, 3) trimester dating, 4)

referral and discharge, 5) hospital and nursing home licensure and inspection, and 6) medical testing, laboratory services, ectopic pregnancy, and documentation. We also describe our opposition to the Board of Health's proposed amendments to trimester dating.

Form: TH-03

II. The Center for Reproductive Rights supports all proposed amendments concerning medication abortion and construction requirements, which better reflect the fact that there is no medical reason to regulate the provision of abortion differently than other office-based surgical or medical procedures.

The first trimester abortion procedure is a simple and extremely safe outpatient procedure that can be performed in a physician's office. See Facts on Induced Abortion in the United States, Guttmacher Institute, https://www.guttmacher.org/factsheet/induced-abortion-united-states#9 (last updated May 2016). In fact, 95% of all abortion care is performed in outpatient facilities. See Rachel K. Jones and Kathryn Kooistra, Guttmacher Institute, Abortion Incidence and Access to Service in the United States, 2008, 43 Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health, no. 1, at 42 (March 2011). The current regulations require compliance with sections of the Facilities Guidelines Institute (FGI) Guidelines for Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities ("the Guidelines") pertaining to hospital design and construction. As the Supreme Court recently held, there is no medical justification for - and patient health and safety is not advanced by - requiring previability abortions to be performed in a hospital-like setting. Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, --- S.Ct. ----, 2016 WL 3461560, at \*36 (2016). The Supreme Court further ruled that in particular there is "no benefit" whatsoever to a surgical center requirement in the context of medication abortion. Id. at \*30. The court clarified that determining whether or not a law is an undue burden on the right to abortion requires courts to analyze whether or not the asserted benefits of a law outweigh the burdens that law places

on access to abortion. Id. at 21. The case specifically addressed Texas surgical center requirements similar to those in the Virginia regulations that are the subject of this comment, determined that these types of regulations unconstitutionally burden the right to abortion, and struck them down. Id. at \*36.

Form: TH-03

Imposing cost-prohibitive and hospital-like design and construction standards where these standards are not medically necessary is not intended to advance patient health and safety, but rather to shut down abortion facilities by making it impossible or extremely difficult for facilities to comply. Because pre-viability abortion can be and is performed safely in an office-based setting, abortion facility regulations should not require adherence to any portion of the hospital design and construction standards outlined in the Guidelines.

There is no legitimate medical reason to regulate medication or surgical abortion differently than the provision of any other medication or similar office-based surgical procedure. Accordingly, these amendments may not cure the constitutional defect inherent to applying any portion of the Guidelines to facilities where pre-viability abortions are performed or provided. However, given the current statutory framework, we support the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-10 to separately define "medication induced abortion" and "surgical abortion" as well as their amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-370, regarding design and construction standards for abortion facilities. Further, we support Governor McAuliffe's additional recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-370. The Board's recommendation and the Governor's recommendation to require new abortion facilities to achieve minimal consistency with the Guidelines moves Virginia one step closer to bringing the regulations for the licensure of abortion facilities in line with the medical reality of first trimester abortion while staying within the Board's statutory mandate. Similarly, the Board's

recommendation and the Governor's recommendation that new medicationonly abortion facilities achieve consistency with even fewer sections of FGI is an improvement. In short, there is no health or safety reason that firsttrimester abortion-whether surgical or medication-based-must occur in a hospital-like setting, as recognized by the recent Supreme Court case of Whole Women's Health v. Hellerstedt, which held that surgical center requirements for abortion clinics are presumptively unconstitutional. Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, --- S.Ct. ---, 2016 WL 3461560, at \*36 (2016).

Form: TH-03

Both the Board and the Governor's recommendations for design and construction are an important first step, given the Board's statutory mandate, to bring the regulations for the licensure of abortion facilities in line with the medical reality and evidence-based practice of first trimester abortion.

III. The Center for Reproductive Rights supports the Board of Health's proposed amendments concerning emergency services because they remove a medically unnecessary transfer agreement requirement.

We support the Board's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-290 regarding emergency services. Not only is first trimester abortion extraordinarily safe, with complications in less than 1% of cases, but the vast majority of hospitals are required to take patients in need of emergency care pursuant to the federal EMTALA (Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act). There is no medical or safety need for abortion facilities to have transfer agreements with hospitals. Under the Supreme Court's recent decision of Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, a transfer agreement requirement would be closely scrutinized to ensure the burdens on access to abortion did not outweigh the benefits to women's health. See Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, ---S.Ct. ----, 2016 WL 3461560, at \*21 (2016).

Moreover, hospitals do not follow a standardized protocol when entering into transfer agreements - rather, transfer agreement requirements vary. In fact, hospitals may refuse to grant transfer agreement requests for reasons unrelated to patient care, such as political pressure. Finally, the hospital with which a clinic has a transfer agreement may not be the closest emergency hospital to a woman experiencing complications postprocedure, so this requirement would not serve any safety purpose for such women. See WWH v. Hellerstedt, ---S.Ct. ----, 2016 WL 3461560, at \*30 ("complications [from medication abortion] would almost always arise only after the patient has left the facility.").

Form: TH-03

Thus, this amendment – unanimously recommended by the physician advisory to the Board of Health – would help ensure that medically unnecessary transfer agreement requirements are not imposed on first trimester abortion clinics.

IV. The Center for Reproductive Rights opposes the Board of Health's proposed amendments regarding trimester dating, and supports Governor McAuliffe's proposed amendments to date pregnancy in a more medically accurate manner.

Abortion regulations, like any regulation of medical procedures, should be evidencebased and medically appropriate. Therefore, we oppose the Board of Health's recommended amendments defining the first trimester of pregnancy. Specifically, we are opposed to the recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-10 stating that the "first trimester" of pregnancy be defined as "the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance with § 18.2-7.6 of the code of Virginia[,]" as well as the Board of Health's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-230(A), stating that "[a]bortions performed in abortion facilities shall be performed only on patients who are within the first trimester of pregnancy based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed physician as determined in compliance with § 18.2-7.6 of the Code of Virginia."

We oppose these amendments because "12 weeks from conception" is a technically inferior measure of the end of the first trimester of pregnancy, both in terms of the way a pregnancy is dated ("12 weeks") and the unit of measurement for that date ("from conception"). In the practice of medicine, pregnancy is measured in days or weeks from the first day of a woman's last menstrual period (LMP) - not from conception - and totaling 40 weeks. Cf. Planned Parenthood of Central Florida, v. Philip. Case No. 4:16cv321-RH/CAS, at \*19-21 (N.D. Fla. June 30, 2016) (describing how medical professionals measure gestational age from LMP, and finding "no medical justification" for defining the last day of the first trimester other than as 13 weeks, 6 days LMP.. "Conception" is also not a medical term and is not generally used by medical professionals to date or measure pregnancy. Cf. id. Moreover, Code § 18.2-76 is irrelevant to the definition of "first trimester" as it does not address how to date pregnancy; it should not be referenced for that purpose.

Form: TH-03

Instead, we support Governor Terry McAuliffe's recommendation for Section 12VAC5-412-230 that the "first trimester" of pregnancy be defined as "13 weeks and 6 days after last menstrual period or based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed health care provider." It is generally accepted that the first trimester ends at 13 weeks 6 days LMP. The National Abortion Federation's Clinical Policy Guidelines refer to the 2nd trimester as "more than 14 weeks from LMP." See National Abortion Federation, Clinical Policy Guidelines, Standard 10.2, 27 (2016). There are also a number of medical journals which reference 14 weeks LMP as the first trimester. See, e.g., Frances Casey, et al., A Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating Same-Day Mifepristone and Misoprostol Compared to Misoprostol alone for Cervical Preparation prior to Second-Trimester Surgical Abortion, Contraception 87 (accepted manuscript 2016) (defining second-trimester as after 14 weeks LMP); Matthew Reeves, et al., Doxycycline serum levels at the time of

dilation and evacuation with two dosing regimens, Contraception 79, 129-133 (2009) (defining the beginning of second trimester as 15 weeks LMP).

Form: TH-03

Moreover, while the regulations currently provide for a clinical "estimate by a licensed physician," health care providers other than physicians are capable of dating, and often do date, pregnancies. Therefore, the Governor's amendment is more medically accurate than the current amendment proposed by the Board of Health, and should be included in the final regulations.

V. The Center for Reproductive Rights supports the Board of Health's proposed amendments concerning referral and discharge.

We support the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-230(E): "The abortion facility shall offer each patient seeking an abortion, in a language or manner she understands, appropriate counseling and instruction in the abortion procedure and shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for the provision of or referral for family planning and post abortion counseling services to its patients."

We further support the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-230(F): "There shall be an organized discharge planning process that includes an evaluation of the patient's capacity for self-care and an assessment of a patient's safety for discharge and discharge instructions for patients to include instructions to call or return if signs of infection develop."

These amendments were unanimously recommended by the physician advisory panel to the Board of Health.

VI. The Center for Reproductive Rights supports the Board of Health's proposed amendments concerning hospital and nursing home licensure and inspection due to increased clarity for compliance.

We support the Board's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-130 to clearly demarcate which sections of the "Hospital and Nursing Home Licensure and Inspection" portion of the Virginia Code apply to abortion facilities. This clarity will be important to ensure abortion facilities have appropriate notice regarding which provisions of the law they must comply with. VII. The Center for Reproductive Rights supports the Board of Health's proposed amendments concerning medical testing. laboratory services, ectopic pregnancy, and documentation. Finally, we support the Board's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-240 regarding medical testing, laboratory services, and ectopic pregnancy. We further support the Board's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-250 regarding documentation of anesthesia services in the patient record. These amendments were unanimously recommended by the physician advisory panel to the Board of Health. VIII. Conclusion The Center for Reproductive Rights thanks you for taking the time to review our comments, and for amending the regulations to better reflect the medical reality of first trimester abortion care. We urge you to adopt all the Governor's recommendations and the Board amendments that we list above as supporting. As co-chair of the Women's Healthcare Senator Barbara The Agency notes the support for the Favola, VA State Caucus in the Virginia General Assembly, proposed amendments as well as the Senate via the I ask the Board of Health to amend the Governor's recommendation's. Virginia targeted regulation of abortion providers Regulatory Town (TRAP) in the Commonwealth. These targeted regulations, which require abortion providers' offices, but not any other type of medical office, to comply with hospital and ambulatory surgical center (ASC) regulations, provide no discernible health benefit to women. Abortion is one of the safest medical

procedures performed in the United States today. First-trimester abortion, in particular, is a safe, non-surgical, outpatient procedure that is routinely and safely practiced in doctor's offices-not hospitals—throughout the country and in the Commonwealth. Leading medical experts, including the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, have long opposed TRAP. Moreover, no less an authority than the United States Supreme Court has ruled that similar restrictions in Texas constitute an undue burden on a woman's ability to access an abortion, and are unconstitutional. Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, 579 U.S. \_\_ (2016). The Supreme Court, in striking down the Texas law, held that there was ample evidence in the record to support the federal district court's conclusion that "'[m]any of the building standards mandated by the act and its implementing rules have such a tangential relationship to patient safety in the context of abortion as to be nearly arbitrary." Id. at (quoting Whole Woman's Health v. Lakey, 46 F. Supp. 3d 673, 684 (2014)). In addition, the Court noted there was ample evidence in the record to support the district court's conclusion that ASC requirements "place[] a substantial obstacle in the path of a woman seeking abortion." Id. at . In Virginia, as in Texas, requiring abortion providers to comply with hospital and ASC regulations is not a measure designed to advance patient health and safety – it is a sham, targeted restriction designed to cut off Virginia women's access to safe, legal abortion. I ask the Board of Health to heed the decision of the United States Supreme Court in Whole Woman's Health, and to amend the regulations of abortion providers in the Commonwealth to reflect medical evidence, to legitimately advance patient health and safety, and to remove any undue burden on a woman's ability to access her constitutional right to abortion The CDC, ACOG, NAF, even the state of Stephanie The Agency notes the opposition to the Harlow via the Virginia recommend STD screenings for regulation. Virginia sexually active women. We should not

Regulatory Town Hall remove this evidence-based healthcare from the abortion regulations.

The CDC's 2015 Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines state, "Primary prevention of STDs includes performing an assessment of behavioral risk (i.e., assessing the sexual behaviors that may place persons at risk for infection) as well as biologic risk (i.e., testing for risk markers for HIV acquisition or transmission). As part of the clinical encounter, health-care providers should routinely obtain sexual histories from their patients and address risk reduction as indicated in this report."

"In addition to obtaining a behavioral risk assessment, a comprehensive STD/HIV risk assessment should include STD screening."

ACOG's 2011 Women's Health Stats & Facts lists recommendations of screening:

- "ACOG recommends annual screening for chlamydia of all sexually active women ages 25 and younger, as well as annual screening of other asymptomatic women at high risk for infection."
- "ACOG recommends annual screening for gonorrhea of all sexually active women ages 25 and younger, as well as other asymptomatic women at high risk for infection."
- "ACOG recommends routine HIV screening for all women ages 19–64, regardless of their individual risk factors." NAF's 2016 Clinical Policy Guidelines states, "Women at high risk for Chlamydia, gonorrhea, or other sexually transmitted infections should be offered testing."

The Health Commissioner's 2016-2020 Virginia Plan for Well-Being lists as Foundational Goals for AIM 3: Preventive Actions, "Virginians are free from sexually transmitted infections" and "In Virginia, cancers are prevented or diagnosed at the earliest stage possible."

Abortion facilities might be the only place a woman is seen by a licensed healthcare professional. How can Virginia be free from STI's when it has removed the medically-appropriate requirement from abortion facilities to include STI screening?

The referenced amendment does not change the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards. The Agency, in its regulation of health care facilities, can reference applicable standards governing health care professionals but does not have the authority to redefine or exceed those standards that are within the purview of other Boards.

Form: TH-03

The physician regulatory advisory panel recommended the elimination of subsection A 3, as this provision is unrelated to abortion procedures. The referenced amendment does not prevent a facility from providing STD testing based on an assessment of patient risk.

The Commissioner and VDH should not remove 12VAC5-412-240.3, "The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for screening of sexually transmitted diseases consistent with current guidelines issued by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The policies and procedures shall address appropriate responses to a positive screening test."

Thomas Morr via the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall The rationale given by Commissioner Levine and VDH for eliminating policies and procedures for the screening of STDs from the abortion facility regulations was stated as follows: "The physician's regulatory advisory panel suggested the elimination of subsection A 3, as this provision is unrelated to abortion procedures."

It is unfortunate that the panel of physicians advising the Health Commissioner, VDH and the Board of Health on abortion facility regulations is unaware of how STDs and abortion care are related.

- The CDC understands that its guidelines "are applicable to any patient-care setting that serves persons at risk for STDs." First in its list or patient-care settings are "familyplanning clinics." The CDC understands that "physicians and other health-care providers play a critical role in preventing and treating STDs."
- ACOG understands that "anyone who has had sexual contact with another person may get an STI. STIs may not cause symptoms. Even if there are no symptoms, your health can be affected."
- According to ACOG 2011 Women's Health Facts and Stats, there are 19 million new STD cases each year, over 9 million in women ages 15-24. STDs are hard to diagnose, lead to serious health problems, and if not adequately treated, up to 40% of women can develop PID - a leading cause of ectopic pregnancy and infertility.
- NAF's Clinical Policy Guidelines recommend women who are at high risk for STIs be offered testing.
- The CDC and ACOG recommend screening at annual visits for chlamydia, gonorrhea, HIV.

The Agency notes the opposition to the regulation.

Form: TH-03

The referenced amendment does not change the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards. The Agency, in its regulation of health care facilities, can reference applicable standards governing health care professionals but does not have the authority to redefine or exceed those standards that are within the purview of other Boards.

The physician regulatory advisory panel recommended the elimination of subsection A 3, as this provision is unrelated to abortion procedures. The referenced amendment does not prevent a facility from providing STD testing based on an assessment of patient risk.

US Preventative Services Task Force agrees with almost all of the CDC's recommendations for STD screening.
The Health Commissioner has developed "Virginia's Plan for Well-Being" One of the goals of the Plan is

Form: TH-03

Being." One of the goals of the Plan is, "Cancers are prevented or diagnosed at the earliest stage possible," with multiple strategies related to increasing cancer screenings. STD screening related to cervical cancer clearly helps with that goal.

Unmistakably the medical community understands the relationship between STDs and abortion, and sees a medical need for these kinds of services. Developing, implementing and maintaining policies and procedures related to the screening of STDs consistent with CDC Guidelines is good, quality healthcare clearly supported by medical best practices.

Furthermore, to be in compliance with existing regulations, all of VA's abortion facilities must currently have policies and procedures for STD screening consistent with the CDC Guidelines. As far as we can tell, there have only ever been just two recorded instances of inspection violations regarding STD screening. We know of no other facilities have expressed any concern that complying with CDC Guidelines regarding STD screening to be too burdensome or medically unnecessary. There has been no confusion by these abortion facilities as to the relationship between STD screening and abortion. To remove implementation of CDC Guidelines regarding STD screening from the regulations would not serve the Purpose of amending the abortion facility regulations as stated in the regulatory action documents..."Upon review, the Department of Health found areas of the regulations which could be improved, therefore protecting the health and safety of patients of these facilities to a higher degree." In fact, the health of patients would not be improved nor protected: the health and safety of patients and the community would suffer if there were no longer policies regarding STD screening.

During the September 2015 Board of Health meeting, there was discussion that

"VDH does want patients to be assessed for risk and tested when appropriate but to be less prescriptive in the regulations about how that is accomplished." The CDC Guidelines says they "should be regarded as a source of clinical guidance rather than prescriptive standards." The CDC does not see their policy guidelines as prescriptive. Asking facilities to create and implement policies and procedures developed by the individual abortion facility is not prescriptive. Even so, Commissioner Levine and VDH offered no alternative, and recommended removing the requirement. If VDH understands STD screening to be appropriate, then an appropriate substitution should be given as an alternative instead of removing the requirement completely. I highly recommend keeping the language as originally written, allowing for each facility to develop and maintain its own policies and procedures to ensure the "treatment of persons who have or are at risk for sexually transmitted diseases," as stated in the CDC Guidelines. Rose Codding, Falls Church Healthcare Center ("FCHC") The Agency notes the support for the Falls Church supports the Board of Health's proposed proposed amendments as well as the Healthcare amendments to the Final Regulations for Governor's recommendation's. Center via the the Licensure of Abortion Facilities and commends the Governor for his Virginia Regulatory Town recommendations to return to science-Hall based rulemaking to ensure that medical care is between a patient and her or his doctor. We know firsthand what happens when rule making and regulations run contrary to this important standard. At FCHC we know firsthand what protecting the health and safety of our patients means. FCHC was established in 2002 to offer our patients opportunities to live productive and healthy lives with integrated full spectrum reproductive health services. FCHC is a medical practice dedicated to pro-choice gynecology and wellness. As a faith-based center, FCHC is proud of its high-quality and caring patient service, its ACOG Fellows Board Certified doctors, and its community involvement which includes: serving as a VDH Pandemic facility, a sex education resource for church and school youth groups, and a training site for prestigious medical

schools. FCHC has provided quality reproductive healthcare in Virginia for over a decade with a distinguished record of excellent medicine and compassionate support for women and families. We know firsthand that the abortion services provided by FCHC - and indeed provided by the vast majority of independent abortion care providers in Virginia and across the country – are among the safest of all in-office medical procedures. This was recognized by the Supreme Court of the United States in its June 27, 2016, opinion in Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt, whereby the Court invalidated TRAP (Targeted Regulations Against Abortion Providers) regulations in the State of Texas. First hand we know the ability for FCHC to continue to provide our reproductive health services in a safe, cost-effective manner has been threatened in recent years by the many TRAP regulations in Virginia imposing a heavily prescriptive regulatory regime meant to price abortion facilities out of existence and to delay and deny access to patients. We know firsthand the burden to patients and doctors alike created by the waiting periods, mandatory 24 hour sonograms and bureaucratic administrative requirements only appropriate to hospitals put in place in Virginia. These problems were exacerbated by the General Assembly's efforts to impose its views on the practice of medicine through House of Delegates amendments to S. 924 accepted by the Senate on a tie breaking vote by then Lt. Gov. Bolling. And yes we know firsthand that 12VA5-412 has virtually nothing to do with patient safety or quality of care. Indeed, FCHC has never had a medical issue arise that would have been avoided if the physical and administrative changes necessitated by the 12VA5-412 had been put in place. We know firsthand the items that would have been required of FCHC under the TRAP regulations were not grounded in evidence-based medicine and its onerous burdens were wholly disproportionate to the very low risks involved in reproductive healthcare. As with the Texas TRAP regulations invalidated by the Supreme Court, Virginia's TRAP regulations would

serve only to deprive women of safe, legal, high-quality care that they need at a time in their lives that are already full of challenges. Form: TH-03

Moreover we know firsthand that the expenditures required pursuant to the promulgated regulations would have no relation to quality of care, patient safety or patient satisfaction. FCHC could not cover the enormous costs of such remodeling through increases in fees charged to patients because many of FCHC's patients could not afford such cost increases. Money spent on regulations that have no scientific or medical basis would also reduce the amount of money available to FCHC to make improvements to its facilities that do promote patient safety, care and service. For these reasons, we know that the regulations must be amended. Accordingly, FCHC generally supports the Board of Health's proposed amendments to the regulations for the licensure of abortion facilities in the Commonwealth because they would substantially ease medically unnecessary burdens. Moreover, FCHC strongly supports the Governor's recommendations for the regulations because such recommendations address the concerns expressed by the Governor in his May 11,

2014, Executive Directive – "that the extreme and punitive regulations adopted [in 2013] jeopardize the ability of most women's health centers to keep their doors open and place in jeopardy the health and reproductive rights of Virginia women."

FCHC believes that approval of the final

FCHC believes that approval of the final regulations and the Governor's recommendations at the Board of Health's next meeting is of vital importance. FCHC believes that the Board of Health should ensure in adopting final regulations that the only regulations left in place are those based on sound medical evidence. FCHC also requests that the Board can adopt at its next meeting additional amendments and improvements such as those advanced by FCHC in comments earlier in this proceeding without requiring further rulemaking procedures. Those amendments and improvements are reflected in Appendix A below.

More broadly, it will be important for the Commonwealth to engage in a constitutional review of its entire scheme of abortion regulations to eliminate provisions inconsistent with the United States Supreme Court's Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt decision and the Supreme Court's reaffirmation that women have the right to make their own decisions about abortion and that the right to make those decisions may not be infringed by medically unnecessary burdens imposed by the government. The Court's decision calls into question both regulations adopted previously by the Board of Health, which the Board of Health can propose to amend, and Virginia statutes that must be amended by the General Assembly or struck down by the courts.

But first things first. At the very minimum as a positive step forward, FCHC urges the Board to adopt the Board's proposed amendments, as well as the Governor's recommendations, to protect the health, safety, access, ensure confidentiality of women seeking abortion services in the Commonwealth of Virginia, and to ensure the availability of safe, high-quality reproductive healthcare for the women of Virginia. Then we will know firsthand that Virginia's rulemaking ensures and reflects real care for women and their families as has been VDH Public Health practice for decades.

Respectfully submitted,,Rose Codding, Director,Falls Church Healthcare Center Appendix A

Recommendations Submitted in this Proceeding by Falls Church Healthcare Center

RECOMMENDED AMENDMENTS / ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES

• 12VAC5-412-30. Classification Define the class of hospital as such: "Class 4 –Office-based Out-Patient Medical Practices and non ASC, non Hospital-Based Abortion Facilities."

• 12VAC5-412-50(F). Request for Issuance

12VAC5-412-70. Return and/or Reissuance of License

-Remove the requirement that a change in administrators or ownership triggers an

The Agency notes the recommendations and shall consider them for inclusion as part of a future regulatory action.

automatic need for re-licensure. (Notification is appropriate but with reasonable timing of 30 days after change.) The current requirement interferes with best business practices and discourages investors who want to improve licensed facilities.

- -Subsection E of 412-70 is too broad and needs to be clarified. For example, in this section, and in section 412-140, there is no definition of "operator". Also, changes in ownership should be relevant to health and safety only if they change the functional program or operation of the facility.
- 12VAC5-90. Right of Entry 12VAC5-100. On-site Inspections
- -The regulations should further differentiate between complaint driven inspections and routine inspections.
- -For routine inspections, the regulations should implement an inspection system on a scheduled basis, as done with the CLIA system used by OLC, so that the inspection system is based on a commitment to support and improve a practice rather than be punitive.
- -Though not specific to a needed amendment I want to voice my support for VDH's proposed "rating" so to categorize major, minor, administrative etc. deficiencies that may be cited during inspection. OLC Director presented this idea to the Board of Health in December. I also support including a "grading" system for medical facilities similar to what is used for restaurant inspections.
- 12VAC5-412-170. Administrator
  Section 170 is far too onerous in dictating
  how a smaller facility accomplishes
  Section 170's objectives. Health centers
  should have greater flexibility in assigning
  the tasks set out in Section 170 to
  appropriate staff in a way that assures
  compliance without requiring the hiring of
  a single administrator responsible for all
  such tasks. To hire and retain a single
  person to do all of the tasks required in
  Section 170 on an ongoing basis would
  require paying a hospital administrator's
  salary. That is simply beyond the reach of
  small health centers.
- 12VAC5-412-210. Quality Management -Quality assurance programs and review systems should be designed relative to

the business size and functional program. Right now the regulations don't recognize that there may be a need for different programs between small and large women's health centers as well as where different types of abortion care are provided.

Form: TH-03

- -Medication abortions should be facilitated in a gynecology practice and not discouraged.
- -This regulation should be amended to better reflect the realities of a small health center. It is overbearing and counterproductive to the effective operation of the smaller facility to require governing bodies, quality assurance committees of four or more staff members, and administrators in smaller doctor's offices or medical practices.
- -This section needs to be amended so that the requirements for smaller abortion facilities are consistent with the regulations affecting other doctors' offices and health care facilities of similar size.
- General Applicability
- -Do not make broad citations to sections of related regulations without specifying what that regulation is supposed to ensure. For example reference to the NFP's or the FGI (which should not even be included as part of the regulation) should specify which provisions and for what purpose(s) reference (s) are made. e.g. Is the regulation cited for the purpose of insuring that fire extinguishers are on site? For training staff how to handle fire emergencies? For other purposes? The references should be specific so that compliance is more realistic and not to apply a shot-gun effect of blasting wellmeaning facilities that want to comply. -A licensed facility should be advised
- -A licensed facility should be advised directly of changes to the regulations, similar to what advisories are given for CLIA changes.
- -Provision of Medication Abortion should be regulated as any other general medical service provided in a doctor's office setting.

RECOMMENDED AMENDMENTS / CLINICAL ISSUES

General Applicability
 Delineate appropriate regulations for
 Medication Abortion and D & C abortions.
 Provision of Medication Abortion should

	be regulated as any other general medical	
	service provided in a doctor's office	
	setting.	
	• 12VAC5-412-320. Required Reporting	
	-Though the regulations currently include	
	in Section B mandatory reporting within	
	24 hours of a catastrophic event, adding	
	to this section a system of self-reporting	
	of adverse events (as we now do for	
	morbidity reporting), and apply this to all	
	health care facilities would facilitate	
	VDH/OLC's monitoring safety of medical	
	services throughout Virginia. Attached for	
	reference see NAF"s current reporting	
	system of quality indicatorsAmend to clarify the events listed in	
	12VAC5-412-320 2 and 5	
	• 12VAC5-412 Part VII Design and	
	Construction	
	-Amend so the applicability of local	
	building and fire codes have precedence.	
	Any Medical facility should be guided by	
	and comply with state and local codes,	
	zoning, and building ordinances and the	
	Uniform Statewide Building Code as will	
	be demonstrated by issuance of	
	occupancy permits and fire marshals'	
	inspections.	
	-Reference to and applicability of FGI	
	included in this section is unwarranted for	
	doctors' office providing office-based,	
	non-invasive procedures. ACOG and	
	CMS guidelines even specify the	
	suitability for abortion care in the office-	
	based outpatient setting. These FGI	
	guidelines are not medically appropriate	
	and are not applied to other office-based healthcare facilities. It is detrimental to	
	patients and wastes healthcare resources	
	to require that a medical office providing	
	abortion care be outfitted like an inpatient	
	hospital or ASC.	
Richard Wiley	The Governor's proposed amendment #2	The Agency notes the opposition to the
via the Virginia	represents a significant departure from	Governor's recommendations.
Regulatory Town	the widely-held medical standard on the	
Hall	length of the first trimester, which the	
	current regulations recognize as being 12	
	weeks - not 13 weeks and 6 days, as the	
	Governor proposes. For instance, the	
	federal Office on Women's Health (OWH)	
	states that the first trimester is week 1 to	
	week 12 after a woman's last menstrual	
	period. Pregnancy.org states that "The	
	second trimester begins at 12 weeks."	

Other significant medical authorities reflect the same.

Form: TH-03

Moreover, the National Institutes of Health states in its U.S. National Library of Medicine that "A fetus at 12 weeks can make a fist and suck its thumb." Clearly, by 12 weeks, it is indisputable that the child in the womb is fully formed with all of the corresponding characteristics most associated with personhood. Without a doubt, "the State's profound interest" in protecting "life or potential life" in the womb, as affirmed in Planned Parenthood v. Casey, contemplates the legitimate interest in protecting fetal life at its more advanced stages.

When the General Assembly passed laws regulating abortion procedures and restricting clinics to performing only first trimester abortions, its clear purpose was to permit greater latitude of abortions for the portion of the pregnancy when the fetus was less fully formed. But given that by the 12th week of the pregnancy we know for sure that the fetus can do virtually all of the things that typical babies can do (e.g. making a fist and sucking its thumb), any termination of the child after that stage in development cannot warrant the same latitude the General Assembly had in mind. Therefore, the restrictions placed on abortion clinics limiting them to abortions during the first trimester must comport with this correct legal and contextual understanding. As such, the 12 week standard, as is currently recognized by the regulations and by leading medical authorities, should remain in place.

Additionally, it is also true that the later in the pregnancy an abortion is conducted, the greater the risks are to health and safety. Especially in light of the proposed changes to the regulations stripping many of the requirements for abortion clinic health and safety standards, the act of simultaneously extending the period in the pregnancy within which the child may be aborted in those clinics can only make for a less safe and riskier environment. Consequently, this change should be discouraged. For all of these reasons, I recommend retaining the current

	gestational time frame for the first	
	trimester as reflective of the first 12 weeks	
	of pregnancy.	
W. Scott Cox via	The National Abortion Federation's basic	The referenced amendment does not
the Virginia_	standard of care regarding the	change the Agency's expectation that a
Regulatory Town	identification of products of conception	physician, nurse or other health care
Hall	following an abortion states, "Termination	professional will adhere to established
	of pregnancy must be confirmed prior to	standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board
	the woman leaving the facility or further evaluation must be initiated." One Board	of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other
	of Health member who is a doctor stated	health regulatory boards. The Agency, in its
	in the September 2015 BOH meeting, the	regulation of health care facilities, can
	proposed language of the amended	reference applicable standards governing
	regulations "falls short of the standard of	health care professionals but does not have
	care." Asking a patient if they want further	the authority to redefine or exceed those
	evaluation based on their ability to pay is	standards that are within the purview of
	simply malpractice.	other Boards.
	The rationale given by Commissioner	The Agency notes the opposition to the
	Levine and VDH for eliminating further evaluation was that it "removes	proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is
	mandatory additional testing and makes	written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-127. The amendment in 12VAC5-412-240
	the testing permissive, allowing the	subsection C removes mandatory additional
	physician and patient to discuss and	testing and makes the testing permissive,
	determine the need for additional testing,"	allowing the physician and patient to
	leading to "improved patient care."	discuss and determine the need for
	Removing basic standards of care will not	additional testing. A further amendment in
	lead to improved patient care, but raise	subsection C requires the provider to track
	the risk that further complications or	this additional testing should it be
	serious harm will occur, if the regulations	performed, so the facility can determine if follow up is necessary. This proposed
	are left as proposed by VDH.	amendment was a recommendation of the
	Based on abortion care "consensus,	physician advisory panel.
	rigorous review of relevant medical	projection authority parities
	literature, and known patient outcomes," if	
	the termination of the pregnancy cannot	
	be confirmed, further evaluation must be	
	initiated, and must not wait for the result	
	of a doctor patient discussion regarding	
	the cost of further evaluation. These are	
	"evidence-based guidelines" from within	
	the abortion care community. And quite frankly, just good healthcare.	
	maniny, just good nealthcare.	
	The World Health Organization's "Safe	
	Abortion" describes in a section titled,	
	"Tissue examination following surgical	
	abortion,"	
	After surgical methods of abortion,	
	immediate examination of the products of	
	conception is important to exclude the possibility of ectopic pregnancy and	
	assess whether the abortion is likely to be	
	completeIf the aspirate does not	
	Toompiotoii tiio aspiiate uoes not	<u> </u>

Virginia via

contain products of conception, ectopic pregnancy should be suspected and the woman should undergo further evaluation. The WHO's "Safe Abortion" continues in a section titled, "Ectopic Pregnancy" If ectopic pregnancy is suspected, it is essential to confirm the diagnosis immediately and to initiate treatment or transfer the woman as soon as possible to a facility that has the capacity to confirm diagnosis and provide treatment. The inspection of aspirated tissue following a surgical abortion procedure can nearly eliminate the risk of an ectopic pregnancy going undetected. In the absence of evidence-based auidelines from the Commissioner or VDH, the non-prescriptive guidelines presented by the National Abortion Federation will suffice. Therefore I recommend amending 12VAC5-412-420.C. in the following way: C. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be examined to verify that villi or fetal parts are present; if villi or fetal parts cannot be identified with certainty, the patient must be reevaluated and the tissue specimen shall be sent for further pathologic examination and the patient alerted to the possibility of an ectopic pregnancy., and referred appropriately. Patient follow-up must continue until one of the following has been documented 1. The diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy has been excluded; 2. Clinical resolution of a possible ectopic pregnancy has been ensured; or 3. Transfer of care to an appropriate provider has been made. Resolution of the pregnancy must be verified and documented. The facility shall track and log any specimens sent for further pathologic examination. Josh Hetzler, The proposed changes for section The Agency notes the opposition to the 12VAC5-412-130 entitled "Violation of this Esq., The Family proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is Foundation of chapter or applicable law; denial, written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-

Form: TH-03

127. The proposed amendment integrates

revocation, or suspension of license"

Virginia Regulatory Town Hall replaces violations applicable throughout all of Article 1, Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 in the Code with only a few of the sections listed therein; namely, § 32.1-125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2, or 32.1-137.01. Thus, a number of important sections related to patient safety and care are rendered inapplicable for the purpose of denying or suspending the licenses of abortion clinics who may ignore all of those requirements. In essence, it's a "free pass" – a signal to abortion clinics that there is no need to comply with the law because there will be no consequences for violating them.

Among the Code sections omitted by the proposed changes are the following:

- § 32.1-125.2. Disclosure of other providers of services.
- § 32.1-125.5. Confidentiality of complainant's identity.
- § 32.1-127.1:01. Record storage.
- § 32.1-127.1:03. Health records privacy.
- § 32.1-127.1:05. Breach of medical information notification
- § 32.1-133. Display of license.
- § 32.1-137.02. Hospital discharge procedures.
- § 32.1-137.03. Discharge planning; designation of individual to provide care.
- § 32.1-137.04. Patient notice of observation or outpatient status.

To be sure, even though this proposed change does not give abortion clinics explicit permission to ignore these and other laws, telling them that the Department of Health won't do anything if they do is effectively the same thing. Considering that nearly all of the newly omitted Code sections proscribe basic requirements for ensuring and protecting patient records, confidentiality, awareness, and care, it simply cannot be that this omission "protect[s] the health

Virginia Department of Health Office of Licensure and Certification guidance into the regulation and is a technical amendment.

and safety of patients of these facilities to a higher degree" – the stated purpose of the proposed amendments. Abortion center physicians should be held accountable for the whole law regarding patient care, and not merely a "cherry-picked" few, as this proposal attempts to do. Patients should be able to reasonably expect that their records will be safely handled and that they will be told about how to properly care for themselves upon discharge. If the proposed changes are allowed to take effect, women who use these clinics can feel much less certain of that.

I urge the Board of Health to reject this amendment and refuse to signal to abortion providers that when it comes to ensuring compliance with many laws related to basic patient care, they can count on the Department to look the other way.

## Christopher A. Meyer via Virginia Regulatory Town Hall

The rationale given by Commissioner Levine and VDH for removing "postabortion counseling" from the abortion facility regulations was stated as follows: "The physician's regulatory advisory panel suggested the removal of 'post-abortion counseling' as the panel stated such counseling is not medically necessary and it's unclear what sort of counseling this would entail."

It is unfortunate that the panel of physicians advising the Health Commissioner, VDH and the Board of Health on abortion facility regulations are unaware of the need for emotional healing that might need to take place after having an abortion. The National Abortion Federation's own guidelines regarding "What Should I Expect After the Abortion?" clearly state the need to heal emotionally after having an abortion, and even states that it's member clinics "provide post-abortion counseling or can provide you with referrals to pro-choice counseling services in your community if they do not," offering multiple books and online resources to receive help. The nation's largest abortion provider. Planned Parenthood, details post abortion counseling. They recognize how women "may feel anxious and have concerns

The referenced amendment does not change the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards. The Agency, in its regulation of health care facilities, can reference applicable standards governing health care professionals but does not have the authority to redefine or exceed those standards that are within the purview of other Boards.

Form: TH-03

The Agency notes the opposition to the proposed amendments. 12VAC5-412 is written to comply with Virginia Code § 32.1-127.

about terminating [their] pregnancy" and that some women "do experience extreme negative reactions such as depression, shame, guilt, or regret." Clearly the abortion community is well aware of what constitutes "post-abortion counseling" and sees a medical need for these kinds of services. Furthermore, to be in compliance with existing regulations, all of VA's abortion facilities currently offer "post-abortion counseling." To date, none of these facilities have ever been in noncompliance for failing to provide postabortion services, nor have they expressed any concern of post-abortion counseling being too burdensome or medically unnecessary. There has been no confusion by these abortion facilities as to what constitutes "post-abortion counseling." To remove "post-abortion counseling" from the regulations would not serve the Purpose of amending the abortion facility regulations as stated in the regulatory action documents..."Upon review, the Department of Health found areas of the regulations which could be improved, therefore protecting the health and safety of patients of these facilities to a higher degree." The health of patients would not be protected or improved by the removal of this requirement. Surely, they would be impacted for the worse if they were no longer offered post-abortion counseling. Therefore I recommend keeping the postabortion counseling services in the abortion facility regulations. The American Civil Liberties Union of Claire G. The Agency notes the support for the Gastanaga, Virginia generally supports the Board's proposed amendments as well as the **ACLU** of Virginia proposed amendments to the Final Governor's recommendations. via Virginia Regulations for the Licensure of Abortion Regulatory Town Facilities, and urges the Board to adopt all Hall of the Governor's recommended changes to the rules. The current licensure rules impose a regime of unprecedented severity, completely out of line with the standards for abortion care and for all other comparable medical procedures - in Virginia and throughout the nation. With no connection whatsoever to improving

patient safety, the current rules do nothing but endanger women's health by undermining their ability to access safe legal abortion care from trusted, safe providers, and, as such, fail to meet the constitutional standards affirmed by the Supreme Court in Whole Women's Health v. Hellerstedt.

Form: TH-03

The current licensure rules are about politics, not medicine. Five years ago, the Virginia Dept. of Health (DOH) convened a panel of six top medical experts from across the state to draft regulations to implement Virginia's law requiring those who provide five or more abortions a month to be "classified as a category of 'hospital." Those experts recommended evidence-based regulations that protected women's health, but DOH ignored its own doctors' recommendations and drafted regulations designed instead to make it nearly impossible for abortion providers to operate. Under pressure from former Attorney General Cuccinelli, the Board of Health approved final rules that included these restrictions designed to shut down abortion providers rather than protect women's health.

Now, covered first trimester abortion providers must meet building requirements designed for new ambulatory surgical centers requirements that no other health care facility in Virginia must meet - despite the fact that first trimester abortion is an extremely safe outpatient procedure. About 88% of the women who obtain abortion care are less than 13 weeks pregnant. Of these women, 97% report no complications; 2.5% have minor complications that can be handled at the medical office or abortion facility; and less than 0.5% have more serious complications that require some additional surgical procedure and/or hospitalization.[1]

The current licensure law and implementing rules single out abortion providers even though other procedures, like colonoscopies, commonly performed in outpatient clinics have a much higher rate of complications. Yet those other

clinics and doctors are not subject to these types of regulations. Some women's health centers providing abortions in Virginia already have been forced to close, or to stop providing abortion services, due to these burdensome and medically unnecessary regulations. If these burdensome licensure regulations remain unchanged, additional providers will close or cut back on services, further limiting women's access to abortion in Virginia. The real motivation behind the law and current regulations has nothing do to with women's health and everything to do with interfering with a woman's ability to access safe and legal abortion care. Such a scheme is clearly unconstitutional. We urge the Board of Health to adopt the changes in the licensure rules now under consideration and to work with the ACLU of Virginia and other individuals and organizations who truly care about protecting women's health to repeal the statute that imposes on abortion providers licensing requirements that are not justified by medical necessity and serve only to burden women by limiting access to safe, legal abortions. Meredith I write in support of the Board of Health's The Agency notes the support for the proposed amendments to the Regulations proposed amendments as well as the Johnson for Licensure of Abortion Facilities, as well Harbach. Governor's recommendations. as Governor McAuliffe's University of Richmond recommendations as reflected in his School of Law Approval Memo dated March 6, 2016. via Virginia Regulatory Town As a constitutional matter, the medical Hall basis for statutes regulating abortions must be legitimate, and under existing Supreme Court law they cannot have the purpose or effect of placing a substantial obstacle in the path of Virginia women who seek to have abortions. Courts have looked to the following factors when considering whether these sorts of abortion regulations impose an "undue burden" on women's constitutional rights: the likelihood that regulations will result in clinics closing; whether they will delay or deter patients from obtaining abortions: whether they lead to a significant increase in the cost of abortions; and whether they stigmatize abortion and undermine

medical providers' ability to exercise their own medical judgment. Courts consider these factors in light of the lived experiences of the women affected by the regulations and the combination of socioeconomic factors that may make such changes especially impactful for them. As currently drafted, the existing regulations are suspect as a constitutional matter, and harmful to women in the Commonwealth as a practical one. Many of the existing regulations have no clear nexus to women's health, and are not imposed on other medical procedures or practices. Instead, they raise the very real specter of shuttering reproductive health centers, making it difficult, if not impossible, for some women to procure abortions, and increasing costs associated with obtaining them. As we have seen in Texas, the closing of abortion providers can drive women underground, across international and state lines, and can also lead to later-term abortions, which are more costly and dangerous. Clinic closures would also mean that fewer women in the Commonwealth would have access to the affordable, comprehensive reproductive health services they rely on to plan their families and avoid unintended pregnancies. I urge the Board to adopt the proposed amendments, including the Governor's recommendations, to protect the health, safety, and confidentiality of women seeking abortion services in the Commonwealth, and to ensure the availability of safe, high-quality reproductive healthcare providers. NAF supports the amendment of the Heather The Agency notes the support for the current regulations for licensure of proposed amendments as well as the Shumaker, abortion facilities to reflect evidence-National Governor's recommendations. based, medically-appropriate practices. Abortion Federation via The National Abortion Federation (NAF) is the Virginia Regulatory Town the professional association of abortion Hall providers. Our mission is to ensure safe, legal, and accessible abortion care, which promotes health and justice for women. Our member facilities care for half of the women who choose abortion in the United

States and Canada each year, including Virginia women. NAF is the leading organization offering accredited continuing medical education to health care professionals in all aspects of abortion care. NAF member facilities, including our Virginia members, adhere to our evidence-based Clinical Policy Guidelines (CPGs), which set the standards for quality abortion care in North America. See National Abortion Federation, Clinical Policy Guidelines (2016) [hereinafter NAF CPGs], available at https://prochoice.org/wpcontent/uploads/2016-CPGs-web.pdf. Our experience and expertise includes developing evidence-based standards, drafting medically-appropriate regulations for abortion facilities with state health departments, and assisting health care providers in the delivery of high-quality abortion care.

Form: TH-03

NAF is committed to patient health and safety, and evidence-based regulations, but the current regulations do not reflect the safety of abortion care, the needs of patients, or the expertise of providers. As such, we generally support the Board of Health's proposed amendments – and strongly support Governor Terry McAuliffe's recommendations. We offer the following specific comments below:

1. NAF supports the governor's recommendation for Section 12VAC5-412-230(A) regarding the definition of "first trimester" and opposes the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Sections 12VAC5-412-10 and 12VAC5-412-230(A) regarding the same.

NAF supports the governor's medically-appropriate recommendation that "first trimester" of pregnancy in Section 12VAC5-412-230(A) be defined as "13 weeks and 6 days after the last menstrual period or based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed health care provider." NAF opposes the Board of Health's recommended amendments, including the definition of "first trimester" in Section 12VAC5-412-10 as "the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of

Virginia" and the requirement in Section 12VAC5-412-230(A) that "[a]bortions performed in abortion facilities shall be performed only on patients who are within the first trimester of pregnancy as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia."

Form: TH-03

Medical professionals measure pregnancy using trimesters from the first day of a woman's last menstrual period (LMP), totaling 40 weeks. And while the definition of first and second trimester can vary, it is generally accepted that the first trimester goes to 14 weeks LMP. NAF CPGs do not directly define trimesters, but reference the premise that the second trimester begins after 14 weeks LMP. See NAF CPGs, page 29. "[Twelve] weeks from conception," on the other hand, is a less technically accurate measure of the first trimester of pregnancy.

Additionally, NAF supports the inclusion of health care providers broadly in the definition of "first trimester," and the medically-appropriate recommendation states "13 weeks and 6 days after the last menstrual period or based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed health care provider" (emphasis added). It is widely accepted within the medical community that health care providers other than physicians can accurately date a pregnancy.

Additionally, Virginia Code § 18-2-76 is not relevant for defining "first trimester." Code § 18-2-76 does not define "first trimester" and instead discusses informed consent, and should not be referenced in regard to dating a pregnancy.

2. NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments, and in particular, the governor's additional recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-370, regarding design and construction standards for abortion facilities.

Any physical plant requirements for health care facilities should be based on the medical needs for the services provided as well as the safety record of services

provided. Abortion care is a simple procedure that is typically provided in office-based settings. Abortion care is one of the safest and most commonly provided medical procedures in the United States. Serious complications are extremely rare. See Facts on Induced Abortion in the United States, Guttmacher Institute, https://www.guttmacher.org/factsheet/induced-abortion-united-states#9 (last updated May 2016). Credit for the outstanding safety record of abortion care is attributed to the specialized care given and received in outpatient facilities, which currently provide 95% of the abortion care in the United States. See Rachel K. Jones and Kathryn Kooistra, Guttmacher Institute, Abortion Incidence and Access to Services in the United States, 2008, 43 Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health, no. 1, at 42 (March 2011).

Form: TH-03

As a result, the standard of care for abortion procedures does not require an ambulatory surgical center setting - which is the setting for a variety of complicated and invasive surgical procedures. NAF CPGs do not discuss the setting for first trimester abortion care, but state that second trimester abortion care can safely be provided in medical offices and freestanding clinics. See page 29. Requiring first trimester abortion care to be provided in a hospital-like setting is extraordinarily burdensome, medically unnecessary and inappropriate – and does nothing to advance patient health and safety.

Because first trimester abortion care can safely be provided in an office-based setting, abortion providers should not have to adhere to any portion of the hospital design and construction standards outlined by the Facilities Guidelines Institute (FGI). So while we would like to see the amendments go further, the Board and Governor's recommendations for design and construction are an important first step. given the limitations of the Virginia statutes, to bring the regulations for the licensure of abortion facilities in line with the medical reality of first trimester abortion care.

3. NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-230(E) regarding counseling.

Form: TH-03

NAF supports the removal of the requirement of "post-abortion counseling" in Section 12VAC5-412-230(E) of the Board of Health's recommendations, which would provide that, "[t]he abortion facility shall offer each patient seeking an abortion, in a language or manner she understands, appropriate counseling and instruction in the abortion procedure and shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for the provision of or referral for family planning services to its patients." NAF fully supports medically-accurate and appropriate informed consent, patient education, and counseling. NAF CPGs state "[o]btaining informed consent and assessing that the decision to have an abortion is made freely by the patient are essential parts of the abortion process." See page 2. However, requirements for so-called "post-abortion counseling" originate from false anti-choice claims that women face long-term distress following an abortion procedure. Counseling requirements for health care should be grounded in medicine and evidence-based practices, and as such, the removal of this language is appropriate.

4. NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-230(F) regarding discharge planning.

The Board of Health's recommended amendment to Section 12VAC5-412-230(F) edits the language related to "an organized discharge planning process." NAF supports the deletion of the requirement that the organized discharge planning process include "an evaluation of the patient's capacity for self-care," and instead require "an assessment of a patient's safety for discharge." NAF CPGs reflect the importance of an assessment of a patient's safety for discharge. See pages 46-47. For example, NAF CPGs require a provider to document that a patient is stable and require that a patient

be given post-procedure instructions regarding self-care, what to expect, and emergency contacts. See page 46. The Board of Health's recommended amendment replaces the existing TRAP regulation with a more medically-appropriate requirement.

Form: TH-03

5. NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-240 regarding medical testing, laboratory services, and ectopic pregnancy.

The Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-240 are consistent with NAF CPGs related to laboratory practice and Rh status, management of pregnancy of uncertain location, and evaluation of evacuated uterine contents. See pages 6, 9, 25-26, and 49-50.

6. NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-250 regarding documentation of anesthesia services.

The Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-250 add the requirement that "[t]he administration of sedation and monitoring of the patient shall be documented in the patient's medical record." This requirement is consistent with NAF CPGs, Standard 11.9, which requires monitoring to be documented. See page 40.

7. NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-290 regarding emergency services.

NAF supports the Board of Health's recommended amendments to Section 12VAC5-412-290, which eliminate the requirement for a written transfer agreement with a hospital for emergency treatment. NAF CPGs do not require our members to have transfer agreements with local hospitals. There are many reasons why a facility providing abortion care would not routinely have a transfer agreement, none of which have to do with the standard of care. Requirements for

transfer agreements vary from hospital to hospital. As such, hospitals may refuse to grant facilities these agreements because of outside pressure. More so, women can obtain emergency care in hospitals in the rare cases they need it without their facility having a transfer agreement. In the unusual instance when a woman must seek emergency care, she would likely visit the hospital nearest to her, which in any case is not necessarily the hospital with which her facility has a transfer agreement, particularly given the significant distances many women travel to access abortion care. In conclusion, NAF thanks you for your time in reviewing our comments and in amending the regulations to reflect the reality of abortion care. We urge you to adopt medically-appropriate amendments, including the governor's recommendations.

Form: TH-03

## All changes made in this regulatory action

Please list all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes. Describe new provisions and/or all changes to existing sections. Explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation

Current section number	Proposed new section number, if applicable	Current requirement	Proposed change and rationale
12VAC5-412- 10 Definitions		"First trimester" means the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed physician.	"First trimester" means the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia.  Rationale: Update the definition of first
			trimester to reflect the requirements of the Code of Virginia.
12VAC5-412- 10 Definitions		"Trimester" means a 12-week period of pregnancy.	Definition has been stricken.
			Rationale: Defining the term "trimester" is unnecessary.
12VAC5-412- 30		Abortion facilities shall be classified as a category of hospital.	This section has been repealed.
Classification			Rationale: This language is contained in the Code of Virginia, therefore it is

		unnecessary to restate it in the
		Regulations.
12VAC5-412- 100(C) Onsite	C. If the OLC's representative arrives on the premises to conduct a survey and the administrator, the nursing director, or	Subsection C has been stricken.
Inspection	a person authorized to give access to patient records is not available on the premises, such person or the designated alternate shall be available on the premises within one hour of the surveyor's arrival. A list of patients receiving services on the day of the survey as well as a list of all of the	Rationale: This amendment is proposed after review by the Department based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.
40//405 440	abortion facility's patients for the previous 12 months shall be provided to the surveyor within two hours of arrival if requested. Failure to be available or to respond shall be grounds for penalties in accordance with § 32.1-27 of the Code of Virginia and denial, suspension, or revocation of the facility's license in accordance with 12VAC5-412-130.	
12VAC5-412- 130. Violation	A. When the department determines that an abortion facility is (i) in violation of any	A. When the department determines that an abortion facility is (i) in violation of any
of this	provision of Article 1 (§ 32.1-123 et seq.)	provision of Article 1 (§ 32.1-123 et seq.)
chapter or	of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 of the Code of	of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 §§ 32.1-
applicable	Virginia or of any applicable regulation,	<u>125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2</u>
law; denial,	or (ii) is permitting, aiding, or abetting the	or 32.1-137.01 of the Code of Virginia or
revocation, or	commission of any illegal act in the	of any applicable regulation, or (ii) is
suspension	abortion facility, the department may	permitting, aiding, or abetting the
of license.	deny, suspend, or revoke the license to	commission of any illegal act in the
	operate an abortion facility in accordance	abortion facility, the department may
	with § 32.1-135 of the Code of Virginia.	deny, suspend, or revoke the license to
	B. If a license or certification is revoked as herein provided, a new license or certification may be issued by	operate an abortion facility in accordance with § 32.1-135 of the Code of Virginia.  B. If a license or certification is
	the commissioner after satisfactory	revoked as herein provided, a new
	evidence is submitted to him that the	license or certification may be issued by
	conditions upon which revocation was	the commissioner after satisfactory
	based have been corrected and after	evidence is submitted to him that the
	proper inspection has been made and	conditions upon which revocation was
	compliance with all provisions of Article 1	based have been corrected and after
	of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 of the Code of	proper inspection has been made and
	Virginia and applicable state and federal	compliance with all provisions of Article 1
	law and regulations hereunder has been	of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 of the §§ 32.1-
	obtained.	125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2
	C. Suspension of a license shall in	or 32.1-137.01 of the Code of Virginia
	all cases be for an indefinite time. The	and applicable state and federal law and
	commissioner may restore a suspended	regulations hereunder has been
	license when he determines that the	obtained.
	conditions upon which suspension was	C. Suspension of a license shall in
	based have been corrected and that the	all cases be for an indefinite time. The
	interests of the public will not be jeopardized by resumption of operation.  No additional fee shall be required for	commissioner may restore a suspended license when he determines that the conditions upon which suspension was

D. The abortion facility has the right to contest the denial, revocation, or suspension of a license in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq. of the interests of the jeopardized by No additional restoring such D. The abortion facility has the right interests of the	
suspension o with the provi	bortion facility has the right e denial, revocation, or of a license in accordance isions of the Administrative (§ 2.2-4000 et seq. of the inia).
of Health Office Certification of Technical am	
200(A) Patients' Rights  protocol relating to the rights and responsibilities of patients consistent with the current edition of the Joint Commission Standards of Ambulatory Care. The protocol shall include a process reasonably designed to inform patients of their rights and protocol relating to the rights and protoc	n facility shall establish a ting to the rights and es of patients. The protocol a process reasonably nform patients of their rights bilities, in a language or understand. Patients shall opy of their rights and es upon admission.
upon admission.  Rationale: Re Commission s stricken. This after review b	eference to Joint standards has been s amendment is proposed by the Department based on the Virginia Office of the heral.
A. The abortion facility shall have an infection prevention plan that encompasses the entire abortion facility encompasses and all services provided, and which is consistent with the provisions of the current edition of "Guide to Infection Prevention in Outpatient Settings:  Minimum Expectations for Safe Care," published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. An individual with training and expertise in infection prevention shall participate in the development of infection prevention policies and procedures and shall review them to assure they comply with applicable regulations and standards.  1. The process for development, implementation, and maintenance of infection prevention policies and procedures and the regulations or appropriate and procedures and procedures and annually by appropriate	vention plan that s the entire abortion facility ses provided. An individual and expertise in infection hall participate in the of infection prevention procedures and shall review re they comply with gulations and standards. cess for development, tation, and maintenance of revention policies and s and the regulations or documents on which they shall be documented. Stion prevention policies and s shall be reviewed at least by the administrator and e members of the clinical annual review process and

	are based shall be documented.	recommendations for
	2. All infection prevention policies and	changes/updates shall be
	procedures shall be reviewed at least	documented in writing.
	annually by the administrator and	3. A designated person in the abortion
	appropriate members of the clinical	facility shall have received training in
	staff. The annual review process and	basic infection prevention, and shall
	recommendations for	also be involved in the annual review.
	changes/updates shall be	
	documented in writing.	
	3. A designated person in the abortion	Rationale: Reference to CDC Guidelines
	facility shall have received training in	has been stricken. This amendment is
	basic infection prevention, and shall	proposed after review by the Department
	also be involved in the annual review.	based on advice from the Virginia Office
		of the Attorney General.
12VAC5-412-	A. Abortions performed in abortion	A. Abortions performed in abortion
230. Patient	facilities shall be performed only on	facilities shall be performed only on
services;	patients who are within the first trimester	patients who are within the first trimester
patient	of pregnancy based on an appropriate	of pregnancy <del>based on an appropriate</del>
counseling.	clinical estimate by a licensed physician.	clinical estimate by a licensed physician.
	B. No person may perform an abortion	as determined in compliance with § 18.2-
	upon an unemancipated minor unless	76 of the Code of Virginia.
	informed written consent is obtained	B. No person may perform an
	from the minor and the minor's parent,	abortion upon an unemancipated minor
	guardian, or other authorized person. If	unless informed written consent is
	the unemancipated minor elects not to	obtained from the minor and the minor's
	seek the informed written consent of an	parent, guardian, or other authorized
	authorized person, a copy of the court	person-, which shall be notarized as
	order authorizing the abortion entered	required by § 16.1-241 of the Code of
	pursuant to § 16.1-241 of the Code of	Virginia. If the unemancipated minor
	Virginia shall be obtained prior to the	elects not to seek the informed written
	performance of the abortion.	consent of an authorized person, a copy
	C. A physician shall not perform an abortion without first obtaining the	of the court order authorizing the abortion entered pursuant to § 16.1-241
	informed written consent of the patient	of the Code of Virginia shall be obtained
	pursuant to the provisions of § 18.2-76 of	prior to the performance of the abortion.
	the Code of Virginia.	C. A physician shall not perform an
	D. When abortions are being performed,	abortion without first obtaining the
	a staff member currently certified to	informed written consent of the patient
	perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation	pursuant to the provisions of § 18.2-76 of
	shall be available on site for emergency	the Code of Virginia.
	care.	D. When abortions are being
	E. The abortion facility shall offer each	performed, a staff member currently
	patient seeking an abortion, in a	certified to perform cardiopulmonary
	language or manner she understands,	resuscitation shall be available on site for
	appropriate counseling and instruction in	emergency care.
	the abortion procedure and shall	E. The abortion facility shall offer
	develop, implement, and maintain	each patient seeking an abortion, in a
	policies and procedures for the provision	language or manner she understands,
	of family planning and post-abortion	appropriate counseling and instruction in
	counseling to its patients.	the abortion procedure and shall
	F. There shall be an organized discharge	develop, implement, and maintain
	planning process that includes an	policies and procedures for the provision
	evaluation of the patient's capacity for	of or referral for family planning and
	self-care and discharge instructions for	post-abortion counselingservices to its
	patients to include instructions to call or	patients.

	return if signs of infection develop.	F. There shall be an organized discharge planning process that includes an evaluation of the patient's capacity for self-care and an assessment of a patient's safety for discharge and discharge instructions for patients to include instructions to call or return if signs of infection develop.
		Rationale: The amendment to subsection A removes a restriction more stringent than the Code of Virginia and aligns the Regulations more precisely with the Code of Virginia (§ 18.2-76 of the Code). The amendment also aligns part A with the definition of "First trimester" within 12VAC5-412-10. The amendment to subsection B inserts the requirements of parental consent within the Regulations, alerting providers of the necessity of notarization. The amendment to subsection E clarifies that the provider need not provide the family planning services but rather simply make referrals. The physician's regulatory advisory panel suggested the removal of "post-abortion counseling" as the panel stated such counseling is not medically necessary and it's unclear what sort of counseling this would entail. The amendment to subsection F was also suggested by the physician's regulatory advisory panel and is a technical amendment for clarity. It is the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards.
12VAC5-412- 240. Medical testing and laboratory services.	A. Prior to the initiation of any abortion, a medical history and physical examination, including a confirmation of pregnancy, and completion of all the requirements of informed written consent pursuant to § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia, shall be completed for each patient.  1. Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk. The clinical criteria for such	A. Prior to the initiation of any abortion, a medical history and physical examination, including a confirmation of pregnancy, and completion of all the requirements of informed written consent pursuant to § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia, shall be completed for each patient.  1. Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk. The clinical criteria for
	additional testing and the actions to be taken if abnormal results are found	such additional testing and the actions to be taken if abnormal results are

shall be documented.

- 2. Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor.
- 3. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for screening of sexually transmitted diseases consistent with current guidelines issued by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The policies and procedures shall address appropriate responses to a positive screening test.
- 4. A written report of each laboratory test and examination shall be a part of the patient's record.
- B. Laboratory services shall be provided on site or through arrangement with a laboratory certified to provide the required procedures under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) (42 CFR Part 493).
  - 1. Facilities for collecting specimens shall be available on site.
  - 2. If laboratory services are provided on site they shall be directed by a person who qualifies as a director under CLIA-88 and shall be performed in compliance with CLIA-88 standards.
  - 3. All laboratory supplies shall be monitored for expiration dates, if applicable, and disposed of properly.
- C. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be examined to verify that villi or fetal parts are present; if villi or fetal parts cannot be identified with certainty, the tissue specimen shall be sent for further pathologic examination and the patient alerted to the possibility of an ectopic pregnancy, and referred appropriately.
- D. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be managed in accordance with requirements for medical waste pursuant to the Regulated Medical Waste Management Regulations (9VAC20-120).

found shall be documented. Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor.

- 2. Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor. Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk.
- 3. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for screening of sexually transmitted diseases consistent with current guidelines issued by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The policies and procedures shall address appropriate responses to a positive screening test.

  4. 3. A written report of each laboratory test and examination shall be a part of the patient's record.
- B. Laboratory services shall be provided on site or through arrangement with a laboratory certified to provide the required procedures under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) (42 CFR Part 493).
  - 1. Facilities for collecting specimens shall be available on site.
  - 2. If laboratory services are provided on site they shall be directed by a person who qualifies as a director under CLIA-88 and shall be performed in compliance with CLIA-88 standards.
  - 3. All laboratory supplies shall be monitored for expiration dates, if applicable, and disposed of properly.
- C. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be examined to verify that villi or fetal parts are present.; iflf villi or fetal parts cannot be identified with certainty, the patient shall be notified that pregnancy tissue was not identified and the possibility of ectopic pregnancy shall be explained to the patient. In such cases, the patient shall be offered a pathologic examination of the tissue including a disclosure of the cost and should the patient desire, the tissue specimen shall be sent for further pathologic examination. and the patient alerted to the possibility of an ectopic

		pregnancy, and referred appropriately. The facility shall track and log any specimens sent for further pathologic examination.  D. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be managed in accordance with requirements for medical waste pursuant to the Regulated Medical Waste Management Regulations (9VAC20-120).
		Rationale: The requirements of subsection A 1 and A 2 were rearranged for greater clarity of the regulations. The physician's regulatory advisory panel suggested the elimination of subsection A 3, as this provision is unrelated to abortion procedures. The list was renumbered due to this suggested elimination. The amendment in subsection C removes mandatory additional testing and makes the testing permissive, allowing the physician and patient to discuss and determine the need for additional testing. A further amendment in subsection C requires the provider to track this additional testing should it be performed, so the facility can determine if follow up is necessary. It is the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and
12VAC5-412- 250. Anesthesia service.	A. The anesthesia service shall comply with the office-based anesthesia provisions of the Regulations Governing the Practice of Medicine, Osteopathic Medicine, Podiatry, and Chiropractic (18VAC85-20-310 et seq.).  B. The anesthesia service shall be directed by and under the supervision of a physician licensed in Virginia.  C. When moderate sedation or conscious sedation is administered, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the anesthesia shall routinely monitor the patient according to procedures consistent with such administration.  D. An abortion facility administering moderate sedation/conscious sedation	other health regulatory boards.  A. The anesthesia service shall comply with the office-based anesthesia provisions of the Regulations Governing the Practice of Medicine, Osteopathic Medicine, Podiatry, and Chiropractic (18VAC85-20-310 et seq.).  B. The anesthesia service shall be directed by and under the supervision of a physician licensed in Virginia.  C. When moderate sedation or conscious sedation is administered, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the anesthesia shall routinely monitor the patient according to procedures consistent with such administration and shall be documented in the patient's medical record.  D. An abortion facility administering

shall maintain the following equipment, supplies, and pharmacological agents as required by 18VAC85-20-360 B:

- 1. Appropriate equipment to manage airways:
- 2. Drugs and equipment to treat shock and anaphylactic reactions;
- 3. Precordial stethoscope;
- 4. Pulse oximeter with appropriate alarms or an equivalent method of measuring oxygen saturation;
- 5. Continuous electrocardiograph;
- Devices for measuring blood pressure, heart rate, and respiratory rate;
- 7. Defibrillator; and
- 8. Accepted method of identifying and preventing the interchangeability of gases.
- E. Elective general anesthesia shall not be used.
- F. If deep sedation or a major conductive block is administered or if general anesthesia is administered in an emergent situation, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the anesthesia service shall remain present and available in the facility to monitor the patient until the patient meets the discharge criteria.
- G. In addition to the requirements of subsection D of this section, an abortion facility administering deep sedation or a major conductive block, or administering general anesthesia in an emergent situation, shall maintain the following equipment, supplies, and pharmacological agents as required by 18VAC85-20-360C:
  - 1. Drugs to treat malignant hyperthermia, when triggering agents are used;
  - 2. Peripheral nerve stimulator, if a muscle relaxant is used; and
  - 3. If using an anesthesia machine, the following shall be included:
  - a. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitor (capnograph);
  - b. In-circuit oxygen analyzer designed to monitor oxygen concentration within breathing circuit by displaying oxygen percent of the total respiratory mixture;
  - c. Oxygen failure-protection devices (fail-safe system) that have the

moderate sedation/conscious sedation shall maintain the following equipment, supplies, and pharmacological agents as required by 18VAC85-20-360 B:

- 1. Appropriate equipment to manage airways;
- 2. Drugs and equipment to treat shock and anaphylactic reactions;
- 3. Precordial stethoscope;
- 4. Pulse oximeter with appropriate alarms or an equivalent method of measuring oxygen saturation;
- 5. Continuous electrocardiograph;
- 6. Devices for measuring blood pressure, heart rate, and respiratory rate:
- 7. Defibrillator; and
- 8. Accepted method of identifying and preventing the interchangeability of gases.
- E. Elective general anesthesia shall not be used.
- F. If deep sedation or a major conductive block is administered or if general anesthesia is administered in an emergent situation, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the anesthesia service shall remain present and available in the facility to monitor the patient until the patient meets the discharge criteria.
- G. In addition to the requirements of subsection D of this section, an abortion facility administering deep sedation or a major conductive block, or administering general anesthesia in an emergent situation, shall maintain the following equipment, supplies, and pharmacological agents as required by 18VAC85-20-360 C:
  - 1. Drugs to treat malignant hyperthermia, when triggering agents are used:
  - 2. Peripheral nerve stimulator, if a muscle relaxant is used; and
  - 3. If using an anesthesia machine, the following shall be included:
    - a. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitor (capnograph);
    - b. In-circuit oxygen analyzer designed to monitor oxygen concentration within breathing circuit by displaying oxygen percent of the total respiratory mixture;
    - c. Oxygen failure-protection devices

capacity to announce a reduction in oxygen pressure and, at lower levels of oxygen pressure, to discontinue other gases when the pressure of the supply of oxygen is reduced: d. Vaporizer exclusion (interlock) system, which ensures that only one vaporizer, and therefore only a single anesthetic agent can be actualized on any anesthesia machine at one time; e. Pressure-compensated anesthesia vaporizers, designed to administer a constant nonpulsatile output, which shall not be placed in the circuit downstream of the oxygen flush valve; f. Flow meters and controllers, which can accurately gauge concentration of oxygen relative to the anesthetic agent being administered and prevent oxygen mixtures of less than 21% from being administered; g. Alarm systems for high (disconnect), low (subatmospheric), and minimum ventilatory pressures in the breathing circuit for each patient under general anesthesia; and

h. A gas evacuation system.
H. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures outlining criteria for discharge from anesthesia care. Such criteria shall include stable vital signs, responsiveness and orientation, ability to move voluntarily, controlled pain, and minimal nausea and vomiting. Discharge from anesthesia care is the responsibility of the health care practitioner providing the anesthesia care and shall occur only when the patient has met specific physician-defined criteria.

(fail-safe system) that have the capacity to announce a reduction in oxygen pressure and, at lower levels of oxygen pressure, to discontinue other gases when the pressure of the supply of oxygen is reduced; d. Vaporizer exclusion (interlock) system, which ensures that only one vaporizer, and therefore only a single anesthetic agent can be actualized on any anesthesia machine at one time;

Form: TH-03

- e. Pressure-compensated anesthesia vaporizers, designed to administer a constant nonpulsatile output, which shall not be placed in the circuit downstream of the oxygen flush valve:
- f. Flow meters and controllers, which can accurately gauge concentration of oxygen relative to the anesthetic agent being administered and prevent oxygen mixtures of less than 21% from being administered;
- g. Alarm systems for high (disconnect), low (subatmospheric), and minimum ventilatory pressures in the breathing circuit for each patient under general anesthesia; and
- h. A gas evacuation system. H. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures outlining criteria for discharge from anesthesia care. Such criteria shall include stable vital signs. responsiveness and orientation, ability to move voluntarily, controlled pain, and minimal nausea and vomiting. Discharge from anesthesia care is the responsibility of the health care practitioner providing the anesthesia care and shall occur only when the patient has met specific physician-defined criteria and those criteria have been documented within the patient's medical record.

Rationale: An amendment to subsection C was recommended by a Board of Health member to stress the importance of documentation of a patient's status. An amendment to subsection H restates a requirement located in 12VAC5-412-300 (5) (h). The restatement here

			stresses the importance of this
			documentation requirement.
			It is the Agency's expectation that a
			physician, nurse or other health care
			professional will adhere to established
			standards of practice. Those standards
			of practice are regulated by the Virginia
			Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and
			other health regulatory boards.
12VAC5-290		D. An aboution facility that name	
		B. An abortion facility that performs	B. An abortion facility that performs
Emergency		abortions using intravenous sedation	abortions using intravenous sedation
services.		shall provide equipment and services to	shall provide equipment and services to
		render emergency resuscitative and life-	render emergency resuscitative and life-
		support procedures pending transfer of	support procedures pending transfer of
		the patient to a hospital. Such medical	the patient to a hospital. Such medical
		equipment and services shall be	equipment and services shall be
		consistent with the current edition of the	consistent with the current edition of the
		American Heart Association's Guidelines	American Heart Association's Guidelines
		for Advanced Cardiovascular Life	for Advanced Cardiopulmonary
		Support.	Resuscitation and Emergency
			Cardiovascular <del>Life Support Care</del> .
		C. A written agreement shall be	
		executed with a licensed general hospital	C. A written agreement shall be
		to ensure that any patient of the abortion	executed with a licensed general hospital
		facility shall receive needed emergency	to ensure that any patient of the abortion
		treatment. The agreement shall be with a	facility shall receive needed emergency
		licensed general hospital capable of	treatment. The agreement shall be with a
		providing full surgical, anesthesia,	licensed general hospital capable of
		clinical laboratory, and diagnostic	providing full surgical, anesthesia,
		radiology service on 30 minutes notice	clinical laboratory, and diagnostic
		and which has a physician in the hospital	radiology service on 30 minutes notice
		and available for emergency service at	and which has a physician in the hospital
		all times. When emergency transfer is	and available for emergency service at
		necessary, the responsible physician at	all times. When emergency transfer is
		the abortion facility must provide direct	necessary, the responsible physician at
		communication to the emergency	the abortion facility must provide direct
		department staff regarding the status of	communication to the emergency
		the patient, the procedure details, and	department staff appropriate receiving
		the suspected complication. All patients	facility staff regarding the status of the
		must be provided with contact	patient, the procedure details, and the
		information for a representative of the	suspected complication. All patients
		abortion facility, so that an emergency	must be provided with contact
		department physician or treating provider	information for a representative of the
		may make contact with a provider of the	abortion facility, so that an emergency
		facility if late complications arise.	department physician or treating provider
		racinty ir late complications arise.	may make contact with a provider of the
			facility if late complications arise.
			radinty if late complications ande.
			Rationale: Insert undated reference to
			Rationale: Insert updated reference to
			American Heart Association guidelines.
			A written agreement is not necessary
			A written agreement is not necessary
			due to the Emergency Medical
	<u> </u>		Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA).

12VAC5-412- 350	A. The abortion facility's structure, its component parts, and all equipment such as elevators, heating, cooling, ventilation, and emergency lighting, shall be kept in good repair and operating condition. Areas used by patients shall be maintained in good repair and kept free of hazards. All wooden surfaces shall be sealed with nonlead-based paint, lacquer, varnish, or shellac that will allow sanitization.  B. When patient monitoring equipment is utilized, a written preventive maintenance program shall be developed and implemented. This equipment shall be checked and/or tested in accordance with manufacturer's specifications at periodic intervals, not less than annually, to ensure proper operation and a state of good repair. After repairs and/or alterations are made to any equipment, the equipment shall be thoroughly tested for proper operation before it is returned to service. Records shall be maintained on each piece of equipment to indicate its history of testing and maintenance.	Some facilities may not be able to obtain such written agreements as the closest hospital may refuse to enter into such an agreement for a variety of reasons. The physician's regulatory advisory panel suggested the additional amendment stating that emergency department staff may not always be the appropriate staff for the provider to be communicating with in the case of emergency transfer. It is the Agency's expectation that a physician, nurse or other health care professional will adhere to established standards of practice. Those standards of practice are regulated by the Virginia Board of Medicine, Board of Nursing and other health regulatory boards. The Board of Medicine's regulations for the use of office-based anesthesia currently require physicians to have a transfer agreement if they use moderate or greater sedation, and that is the Agency's expectation.  A. The abortion facility's structure, its component parts, and all equipment such as elevators, heating, cooling, ventilation, and emergency lighting, shall be kept in good repair and operating condition. Areas used by patients shall be maintained in good repair and kept free of hazards. All wooden surfaces shall be sealed with nonlead-based paint, lacquer, varnish, or shellac that will allow sanitization.  B. When patient monitoring equipment is utilized, a written preventive maintenance program shall be developed and implemented. This equipment shall be checked and/or tested in accordance with manufacturer's specifications at periodic intervals, not less than annually, to ensure proper operation and a state of good repair. After repairs and/or alterations are made to any equipment, the equipment shall be thoroughly tested for proper operation before it is returned to service. Records shall be maintained on each piece of equipment to indicate its history of testing and maintenance.
		advice from the Virginia Office of the

		Attorney General.
10\/ACE 440	A Foot obortion facility about actablish a	
12VAC5-412- 360	A. Each abortion facility shall establish a monitoring program for the internal enforcement of all applicable fire and safety laws and regulations and shall designate a responsible employee for the monitoring program.  B. All fire protection and alarm systems and other firefighting equipment shall be inspected and tested in accordance with the current edition of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) to maintain them in serviceable condition.  C. All corridors and other means of egress or exit from the building shall be maintained clear and free of obstructions in accordance with the current edition of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the Code of Virginia).	This section has been repealed.  Rationale: This amendment was proposed after consultation with the Virginia Office of the Attorney General because compliance with applicable fire and safety laws and regulations is required by 12 VAC 5-412-370 as amended.
12VAC5-412- 370	Abortion facilities shall comply with state and local codes, zoning, and building ordinances and the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code (13VAC5-63).In addition, abortion facilities shall comply with Part 1 and sections 3.1-1 through 3.1-8 and section 3.7 of Part 3 of the 2010 Guidelines for Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities of the Facilities Guidelines Institute, which shall take precedence over the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code pursuant to § 32.1-127.001 of the Code of Virginia.  Entities operating as of the effective date of this chapter as identified by the department through submission of Reports of Induced Termination of Pregnancy pursuant to 12VAC5-550-120 or other means and that are now subject to licensure may be licensed in their current buildings if such entities submit a plan with the application for licensure that will bring them into full compliance with this provision within two years from the date of licensure.  In order to determine whether the abortion facility is in compliance with this provision, the commissioner may obtain additional information from the facility or its architect concerning the design and	All construction of new buildings and additions, or major renovations to existing buildings for occupancy as an abortion facility shall comply with all applicable state and local codes and ordinances.  Rationale: This amendment is offered based on advice from the Virginia Office of the Attorney General.
Documents	construction of the facility.  Guidelines for Design and	Guidelines for Design and
Incorporated	Construction of Health Care Facilities,	Construction of Health Care Facilities,

By Reference	2010 Edition, Part 1 and Sections 3.1-1	2010 Edition, Part 1 and Sections 3.1-1
(12VAC5-	through 3.1-8 and 3.7 of Part, Facilities	through 3.1-8 and 3.7 of
412)	Guidelines Institute (formerly of the	Part 32014 Guidelines for Design and
/	American Institute of Architects),	Construction of Hospitals and Outpatient
	Washington, D.C.	Facilities, Facilities Guidelines Institute
		(formerly of the American Institute of
		Architects), Washington, D.C.
	Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary	Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary
	Resuscitation and Emergency	Resuscitation and Emergency
	Cardiovascular Care. Circulation.	Cardiovascular Care. Circulation.
	November 2, 2010, Volume 122, Issue	November 2, 2010, Volume 122, Issue
	18 Suppl 3, American Heart Association,	18 Suppl 3, American Heart Association,
	7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX	7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX
	75231-4596	75231-4596
	(http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/vol12	(http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/vol12
	2/18_suppl_3/).	2/18_suppl_3/).
	Sexually Transmitted Diseases	Sexually Transmitted Diseases
	Treatment Guidelines, 2010, Centers for	Treatment Guidelines, [20102015],
	Disease Control and Prevention, U.S.	Centers for Disease Control and
	Department of Health and Human	Prevention, U.S. Department of Health
	Services.	and Human Services.
	(http://www.cdc.gov/std/tg2015/default.ht	(http://www.cdc.gov/std/tg2015/default.ht
	<u>m}</u>	<u>m)</u>
	Guide to Infection Prevention for	Guide to Infection Prevention for
	Outpatient Settings: Minimum	Outpatient Settings: Minimum
	Expectations for Safe Care, Centers for	Expectations for Safe Care, Centers for
	Disease Control and Prevention, U.S.	Disease Control and Prevention, U.S.
	Department of Health and Human	Department of Health and Human
	Services	Services
	(http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/prevent/prevent	[(http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/prevent/prevent
	_pubs.html)	_pubs.html) (http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/pdf
	Standards for Ambulatory Care,	s/guidelines/Outpatient-Care-Guide-
	Rights and Responsibilities of the	withChecklist.pdf).]
	Individual, 2011, The Joint Commission,	[2015 Standards for Ambulatory
	1515 W. 22nd Street, Suite 1300W, Oak	<u>Care</u>  Standards for Ambulatory Care,
	Brook, IL 60523, telephone 1-877-223-	Rights and Responsibilities of the
	2866, email	Individual, 2011, The Joint Commission,
	jcrcustomerservice@pbd.com.	1515 W. 22nd Street, Suite 1300W, Oak
	Bloodborne Pathogens - OSHA's	Brook, IL 60523, telephone [1-770-238-
	Bloodborne Pathogens Standard, OSHA	0454]1-877-223-2866, email
	Fact Sheet and Quick Reference Guide,	jcrcustomerservice@pbd.com.
	2011 U.S. Occupational Safety and	Bloodborne Pathogens - OSHA's
	Health Administration.	Bloodborne Pathogens Standard, OSHA
		Fact Sheet and Quick Reference Guide,
		2011 U.S. Occupational Safety and
		Health Administration.
		Rationale: References to the deleted
Ĭ I	1	de accesa de la coma de accesa de accesa de la coma de

documents have been removed from the

Regulations.

## Project 4258

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**

# Amend Regulations Following Periodic Review -Chp 412

1	Part I
2	Definitions and Requirements for Licensure
3	12VAC5-412-10. Definitions.
4	The following words and terms when used in this regulation shall have the following
5	meanings unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:
6	"Abortion" means the use of an instrument, medicine, drug, or other substance or device
7	with the intent to terminate the pregnancy of a woman, known to be pregnant, for reasons other
8	than a live birth or to remove a dead fetus. Spontaneous miscarriage is excluded from this
9	definition.
10	"Abortion facility" means a facility in which five or more first trimester abortions per month
11	are performed.
12	"Administrator" means the person appointed by the governing body as having responsibility
13	for the overall management of the abortion facility. Job titles may include director, executive
14	director, office manager, or business manager.
15	"Commissioner" means the State Health Commissioner.
16	"Department" means the Virginia Department of Health.
17	"First trimester" means the first 12 weeks from conception as determined in compliance with
18	§ 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia. based on an appropriate clinical estimate by a licensed
19	<del>physician.</del>
20	"Informed written consent" means the knowing and voluntary written consent to abortion by
21	a pregnant woman of any age in accordance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia.

- 22 "Licensee" means the person, partnership, corporation, association, organization, or professional entity who owns or on whom rests the ultimate responsibility and authority for the 23 24 conduct of the abortion facility.
- 25 ["Medication induced abortion" means any abortion caused solely by the administration of 26 any medication or medications given to a woman in the first trimester of pregnancy with the 27 intent to produce abortion.]
- 28 "Minor" means a patient under the age of 18.
- 29 "Patient" means any person seeking or obtaining services at an abortion facility.
- 30 "Physician" means a person licensed to practice medicine in Virginia.
- 31 "Spontaneous miscarriage" means the expulsion or extraction of a product of human 32 conception resulting in other than a live birth and which is not an abortion.
- 33 ["Surgical abortion" means any abortion caused by any means other than solely by the 34 administration of any medication or medications given to a woman in the first trimester of 35 pregnancy with the intent to produce abortion.]
- 36 "Trimester" means a 12-week period of pregnancy.
- 37 12VAC5-412-30. Classification. (Repealed.)
- 38 Abortion facilities shall be classified as a category of hospital.
- 39 12VAC5-412-100. on-Site Inspection.

- 40 A. An OLC representative shall make periodic unannounced on-site inspections of each abortion facility as necessary, but not less often than biennially. If the department finds, after 42 inspection, noncompliance with any provision of this chapter, the abortion facility shall receive a 43 written licensing report of such findings. The abortion facility shall submit a written plan of 44 correction in accordance with provisions of 12VAC5-412-110.
- 45 B. The abortion facility shall make available to the OLC's representative any requested 46 records and shall allow access to interview the agents, employees, contractors, and any person 47 under the abortion facility's control, direction, or supervision. If copies of records are removed

from the premises, patient names and addresses contained in such records shall be redacted by the abortion facility before removal.

[C. If the OLC's representative arrives on the premises to conduct a survey and the administrator, the nursing director, or a person authorized to give access to patient records is not available on the premises, such person or the designated alternate shall be available on the premises within one hour of the surveyor's arrival. A list of patients receiving services on the day of the survey as well as a list of all of the abortion facility's patients for the previous 12 months shall be provided to the surveyor within two hours of arrival if requested. Failure to be available or to respond shall be grounds for penalties in accordance with § 32.1-27 of the Code of Virginia and denial, suspension, or revocation of the facility's license in accordance with 12VAC5-412-130.]

12VAC5-412-130. Violation of this chapter or applicable law; denial, revocation, or suspension of license.

A. When the department determines that an abortion facility is (i) in violation of any provision of Article 1 (§ 32.1-123 et seq.) of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 §§ 32.1-125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2 or 32.1-137.01 of the Code of Virginia or of any applicable regulation, or (ii) is permitting, aiding, or abetting the commission of any illegal act in the abortion facility, the department may deny, suspend, or revoke the license to operate an abortion facility in accordance with § 32.1-135 of the Code of Virginia.

B. If a license or certification is revoked as herein provided, a new license or certification may be issued by the commissioner after satisfactory evidence is submitted to him that the conditions upon which revocation was based have been corrected and after proper inspection has been made and compliance with all provisions of Article 1 of Chapter 5 of Title 32.1 of the §§ 32.1-125.01, 32.1-125.4, 32.1-132, 32.1-135.2 or 32.1-137.01 of the Code of Virginia and applicable state and federal law and regulations hereunder has been obtained.

- C. Suspension of a license shall in all cases be for an indefinite time. The commissioner may restore a suspended license when he determines that the conditions upon which suspension was based have been corrected and that the interests of the public will not be jeopardized by resumption of operation. No additional fee shall be required for restoring such license.
- D. The abortion facility has the right to contest the denial, revocation, or suspension of a license in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq. of the Code of Virginia).

81 Part II

82 Organization and Management

- 83 12VAC5-412-200. Patients' Rights.
- A. Each abortion facility shall establish a protocol relating to the rights and responsibilities of
- 85 patients [consistent with the current edition of the Joint Commission Standards of Ambulatory
- 86 Care]. The protocol shall include a process reasonably designed to inform patients of their rights
- 87 and responsibilities, in a language or manner they understand. Patients shall be given a copy of
- their rights and responsibilities upon admission.
- 89 B. The abortion facility shall establish and maintain complaint handling procedures which
- **90** specify the:

73

74

**75** 

**76** 

77

78

79

- 91 1. System for logging receipt, investigation, and resolution of complaints; and
- **92** 2. Format of the written record of the findings of each complaint investigated.
- 93 C. The abortion facility shall designate staff responsible for complaint resolution, including:
- 1. Complaint intake, including acknowledgment of complaints;
- **95** 2. Investigation of the complaint;
- **96** 3. Review of the investigation findings and resolution for the complaint; and
- 974. Notification to the complainant of the proposed resolution within 30 days from the date of98receipt of the complaint.

- 99 D. Any patient seeking an abortion shall be given a copy of the complaint procedures, in a100 language or manner she understands, at the time of admission to service.
- 101 E. The abortion facility shall provide each patient or her designee with the name, mailing102 address, and telephone number of the:
- 10. Abortion facility contact person; and
- 2. OLC Complaint Unit, including the toll-free complaint hotline number. Patients may submit
  complaints anonymously to the OLC. The abortion facility shall display a copy of this
  information in a conspicuous place.
- F. The abortion facility shall maintain documentation of all complaints received and the status of
  each complaint from date of receipt through its final resolution. Records shall be maintained for
  no less than three years.

110 Part III

Quality Management and Infection Prevention

### 12VAC5-412-220. Infection Prevention.

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

- A. The abortion facility shall have an infection prevention plan that encompasses the entire abortion facility and all services provided[, and which is consistent with the provisions of the current edition of "Guide to Infection Prevention in Outpatient Settings: Minimum Expectations for Safe Care," published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention]. An individual with training and expertise in infection prevention shall participate in the development of infection prevention policies and procedures and shall review them to assure they comply with applicable regulations and standards.
  - 1. The process for development, implementation, and maintenance of infection prevention policies and procedures and the regulations or guidance documents on which they are based shall be documented.

123 2. All infection prevention policies and procedures shall be reviewed at least annually by 124 the administrator and appropriate members of the clinical staff. The annual review 125 process and recommendations for changes/updates shall be documented in writing. 126 3. A designated person in the abortion facility shall have received training in basic 127 infection prevention, and shall also be involved in the annual review. 128 B. Written infection prevention policies and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: 129 1. Procedures for screening incoming patients and visitors for acute infectious illnesses 130 and applying appropriate measures to prevent transmission of community-acquired 131 infection within the abortion facility; 132 2. Training of all personnel in proper infection prevention techniques; 133 3. Correct hand-washing technique, including indications for use of soap and water and 134 use of alcohol-based hand rubs; 135 4. Use of standard precautions: 136 5. Compliance with bloodborne pathogen requirements of the U.S. Occupational Safety 137 and Health Administration; 138 6. Use of personal protective equipment: 139 7. Use of safe injection practices; 140 8. Plans for annual retraining of all personnel in infection prevention methods; 141 9. Procedures for monitoring staff adherence to recommended infection prevention 142 practices; and 143 10. Procedures for documenting annual retraining of all staff in recommended infection 144 prevention practices. 145 C. Written policies and procedures for the management of the abortion facility, equipment, 146 and supplies shall address the following: 147 1. Access to hand-washing equipment and adequate supplies (e.g., soap, alcohol-based

hand rubs, disposable towels or hot air driers);

- 2. Availability of utility sinks, cleaning supplies, and other materials for cleaning,disposal, storage, and transport of equipment and supplies;
- 3. Appropriate storage for cleaning agents (e.g., locked cabinets or rooms for chemicals
  used for cleaning) and product-specific instructions for use of cleaning agents (e.g.,
  dilution, contact time, management of accidental exposures);
- 4. Procedures for handling, storing, and transporting clean linens, clean/sterile supplies,and equipment;
  - 5. Procedures for handling/temporary storage/transport of soiled linens;

- 6. Procedures for handling, storing, processing, and transporting regulated medical waste in accordance with applicable regulations;
  - 7. Procedures for the processing of each type of reusable medical equipment between uses on different patients. The procedure shall address: (i) the level of cleaning/disinfection/sterilization to be used for each type of equipment; (ii) the process (e.g., cleaning, chemical disinfection, heat sterilization); and (iii) the method for verifying that the recommended level of disinfection/sterilization has been achieved. The procedure shall reference the manufacturer's recommendations and any applicable state or national infection control guidelines;
  - 8. Procedures for appropriate disposal of nonreusable equipment;
  - 9. Policies and procedures for maintenance/repair of equipment in accordance with manufacturer recommendations:
  - 10. Procedures for cleaning of environmental surfaces with appropriate cleaning products;
- 171 11. An effective pest control program, managed in accordance with local health and environmental regulations; and

- 173 12. Other infection prevention procedures necessary to prevent/control transmission of 174 an infectious agent in the abortion facility as recommended or required by the 175 department. 176 D. The abortion facility shall have an employee health program that includes: 177 1. Access to recommended vaccines: 178 2. Procedures for assuring that employees with communicable diseases are identified 179 and prevented from work activities that could result in transmission to other personnel or 180 patients: 181 3. An exposure control plan for bloodborne pathogens; 182 4. Documentation of screening and immunizations offered/received by employees in 183 accordance with statute, regulation, or recommendations of public health authorities, 184 including documentation of screening for tuberculosis and access to hepatitis B vaccine; 185 and 186 5. Compliance with requirements of the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health 187 Administration for reporting of workplace-associated injuries or exposure to infection. 188 E. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for
- 1. A procedure for surveillance, documentation, and tracking of reported infections; and

the following patient education, follow up, and reporting activities:

189

2. Policies and procedures for reporting conditions to the local health department in accordance with the Regulations for Disease Reporting and Control (12VAC5-90), including outbreaks of disease.

194	Part IV
195	Patient Care Management
196	12VAC5-412-230. Patient services; patient counseling.
197	A. Abortions performed in abortion facilities shall be performed only on patients who are
198	within the first trimester of pregnancy as determined in compliance with § 18.2-76 of the Code of
199	Virginia. based on an appropriate clinical estimate by licensed physician.
200	B. No person may perform an abortion upon an unemancipated minor unless informed
201	written consent is obtained from the minor and the minor's parent, guardian, or other authorized
202	person-, which shall be notarized as required by § 16.1-241 of the Code of Virginia. If the
203	unemancipated minor elects not to seek the informed written consent of an authorized person, a
204	copy of the court order authorizing the abortion entered pursuant to § 16.1-241 of the Code of
205	Virginia shall be obtained prior to the performance of the abortion.
206	C. A physician shall not perform an abortion without first obtaining the informed written
207	consent of the patient pursuant to the provisions of § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia.
208	D. When abortions are being performed, a staff member currently certified to perform
209	cardiopulmonary resuscitation shall be available on site for emergency care.
210	E. The abortion facility shall offer each patient seeking an abortion, in a language or manner
211	she understands, appropriate counseling and instruction in the abortion procedure and shall
212	develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for the provision of or referral
213	for family planning services and post-abortion counseling to its patients.
214	F. There shall be an organized discharge planning process that includes an assessment of a
215	patient's safety for discharge and an evaluation of the patient's capacity for self-care
216	and discharge instructions for patients to include instructions to call or return if signs of infection
217	develop.

#### 12VAC5-412-240. Medical testing and laboratory services.

A. Prior to the initiation of any abortion, a medical history and physical examination, including a confirmation of pregnancy, and completion of all the requirements of informed written consent pursuant to § 18.2-76 of the Code of Virginia, shall be completed for each patient.

- 1. <u>Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor.</u> Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk. The clinical criteria for such additional testing and the actions to be taken if abnormal results are found shall be documented.
- 2. <u>Use of any additional medical testing shall be based on an assessment of patient risk.</u> <u>Medical testing shall include a recognized method to confirm pregnancy and determination or documentation of Rh factor.</u>
- 3. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures for screening of sexually transmitted diseases consistent with current guidelines issued by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The policies and procedures shall address appropriate responses to a positive screening test.
- 4. 3. A written report of each laboratory test and examination shall be a part of the patient's record.
- B. Laboratory services shall be provided on site or through arrangement with a laboratory certified to provide the required procedures under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) (42 CFR Part 493).
  - 1. Facilities for collecting specimens shall be available on site.
  - 2. If laboratory services are provided on site they shall be directed by a person who qualifies as a director under CLIA-88 and shall be performed in compliance with CLIA-88 standards.

- 3. All laboratory supplies shall be monitored for expiration dates, if applicable, anddisposed of properly.
  - C. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be examined to verify that villi or fetal parts are present, if If villi or fetal parts cannot be identified with certainty, the patient shall be notified that pregnancy tissue was not identified and the possibility of ectopic pregnancy shall be explained to the patient. In such cases, the patient shall be offered a pathologic examination of the tissue including a disclosure of the cost and should the patient desire, the tissue specimen shall be sent for further pathologic examination, and the patient alerted to the possibility of an ectopic pregnancy, and referred appropriately. The facility shall track and log any specimens sent for further pathologic examination.
    - D. All tissues removed resulting from the abortion procedure shall be managed in accordance with requirements for medical waste pursuant to the Regulated Medical Waste Management Regulations (9VAC20-120).

#### 256 12VAC5-412-250. Anesthesia service.

- A. The anesthesia service shall comply with the office-based anesthesia provisions of the Regulations Governing the Practice of Medicine, Osteopathic Medicine, Podiatry, and Chiropractic (18VAC85-20-310 et seq.).
  - B. The anesthesia service shall be directed by and under the supervision of a physician licensed in Virginia.
    - C. When moderate sedation or conscious sedation is administered, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the anesthesia shall routinely monitor the patient according to procedures consistent with such administration and shall be documented in the patient's medical record.
    - D. An abortion facility administering moderate sedation/conscious sedation shall maintain the following equipment, supplies, and pharmacological agents as required by 18VAC85-20-360 B:

269 1. Appropriate equipment to manage airways; 270 2. Drugs and equipment to treat shock and anaphylactic reactions; 271 3. Precordial stethoscope; 272 4. Pulse oximeter with appropriate alarms or an equivalent method of measuring oxygen 273 saturation: 274 5. Continuous electrocardiograph; 275 6. Devices for measuring blood pressure, heart rate, and respiratory rate; 276 7. Defibrillator; and 277 8. Accepted method of identifying and preventing the interchangeability of gases. 278 E. Elective general anesthesia shall not be used. 279 F. If deep sedation or a major conductive block is administered or if general anesthesia is 280 administered in an emergent situation, the licensed health care practitioner who administers the 281 anesthesia service shall remain present and available in the facility to monitor the patient until 282 the patient meets the discharge criteria. 283 G. In addition to the requirements of subsection D of this section, an abortion facility 284 administering deep sedation or a major conductive block, or administering general anesthesia in 285 an emergent situation, shall maintain the following equipment, supplies, and pharmacological 286 agents as required by 18VAC85-20-360 C: 287 1. Drugs to treat malignant hyperthermia, when triggering agents are used; 288 2. Peripheral nerve stimulator, if a muscle relaxant is used; and 289 3. If using an anesthesia machine, the following shall be included: 290 a. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitor (capnograph); 291 b. In-circuit oxygen analyzer designed to monitor oxygen concentration within 292 breathing circuit by displaying oxygen percent of the total respiratory mixture;

- c. Oxygen failure-protection devices (fail-safe system) that have the capacity to announce a reduction in oxygen pressure and, at lower levels of oxygen pressure, to discontinue other gases when the pressure of the supply of oxygen is reduced;
- d. Vaporizer exclusion (interlock) system, which ensures that only one vaporizer, and therefore only a single anesthetic agent can be actualized on any anesthesia machine at one time:
- e. Pressure-compensated anesthesia vaporizers, designed to administer a constant nonpulsatile output, which shall not be placed in the circuit downstream of the oxygen flush valve;
- f. Flow meters and controllers, which can accurately gauge concentration of oxygen relative to the anesthetic agent being administered and prevent oxygen mixtures of less than 21% from being administered;
- g. Alarm systems for high (disconnect), low (subatmospheric), and minimum ventilatory pressures in the breathing circuit for each patient under general anesthesia; and
- h. A gas evacuation system.

H. The abortion facility shall develop, implement, and maintain policies and procedures outlining criteria for discharge from anesthesia care. Such criteria shall include stable vital signs, responsiveness and orientation, ability to move voluntarily, controlled pain, and minimal nausea and vomiting. Discharge from anesthesia care is the responsibility of the health care practitioner providing the anesthesia care and shall occur only when the patient has met specific physician-defined criteria and those criteria have been documented within the patient's medical record.

#### 12VAC5-412-290. Emergency services.

A. An abortion facility shall provide ongoing urgent or emergent care and maintain on the premises adequate monitoring equipment, suction apparatus, oxygen, and related items for resuscitation and control of hemorrhage and other complications.

B. An abortion facility that performs abortions using intravenous sedation shall provide equipment and services to render emergency resuscitative and life-support procedures pending transfer of the patient to a hospital. Such medical equipment and services shall be consistent with the current edition of the American Heart Association's Guidelines for Advanced Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Life Support Care.

C. A written agreement shall be executed with a licensed general hospital to ensure that any patient of the abortion facility shall receive needed emergency treatment. The agreement shall be with a licensed general hospital capable of providing full surgical, anesthesia, clinical laboratory, and diagnostic radiology service on 30 minutes notice and which has a physician in the hospital and available for emergency service at all times. When emergency transfer is necessary, the responsible physician at the abortion facility must provide direct communication to the emergency department staff appropriate receiving facility staff regarding the status of the patient, the procedure details, and the suspected complication. All patients must be provided with contact information for a representative of the abortion facility, so that an emergency department physician or treating provider may make contact with a provider of the facility if late complications arise.

#### Part VI. Functional Safety and Maintenance

#### 12VAC5-412-350. Maintenance.

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

A. [The abortion facility's structure, its component parts, and all equipment such as elevators, heating, cooling, ventilation, and emergency lighting, shall be kept in good repair and operating condition. Areas used by patients shall be maintained in good repair and kept free of hazards.

All wooden surfaces shall be sealed with nonlead-based paint, lacquer, varnish, or shellac that will allow sanitization.

B. ]When patient monitoring equipment is utilized, a written preventive maintenance program

shall be developed and implemented. This equipment shall be checked and/or tested in

accordance with manufacturer's specifications at periodic intervals, not less than annually, to ensure proper operation and a state of good repair. After repairs and/or alterations are made to any equipment, the equipment shall be thoroughly tested for proper operation before it is returned to service. Records shall be maintained on each piece of equipment to indicate its history of testing and maintenance.

#### 12VAC5-412-360. Firefighting Equipment and Systems. [(Repealed)

A. Each abortion facility shall establish a monitoring program for the internal enforcement of all applicable fire and safety laws and regulations and shall designate a responsible employee for the monitoring program.

B. All fire protection and alarm systems and other firefighting equipment shall be inspected and tested in accordance with the current edition of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) to maintain them in serviceable condition.

C. All corridors and other means of egress or exit from the building shall be maintained clear and free of obstructions in accordance with the current edition of the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code (§ 27-94 et seq. of the Code of Virginia).]

360 Part VII

361 Design and Construction

#### 12VAC5-412-370. Local and state codes and standards.

Abortion facilities [A.] All construction of new buildings and additions or major renovations to existing buildings for occupancy as an abortion facility shall [comply with eenform to all applicable] state and local codes; and [zening], and building ordinances [and the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code (13VAC5-63)]. In addition, abortion facilities [All construction of new buildings and additions or major renovations to existing buildings for occupancy as an abortion facility that perform only surgical abortions or a combination of surgical and medication induced abortions shall] comply [be designed and constructed consistent with Part 1 and] sections 3.1.1 through 3.1.8 and section 3.7 [section 3.8 of Part 3 of the] 2010 [Guidelines for

Design and Construction of | Health Care | Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities | of the | , 2014 edition, The Facilities Guidelines Institute (2014 guidelines), ] which shall take precedence over the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code | pursuant to § 32.1-127.001 of the Code of Virginia. Abortion facilities that perform only medication induced abortions shall be designed and constructed consistent with sections 1.1, 1.3, and 1.4 of Part 1 of the 2014 guidelines. Entities operating as of the effective date of this chapter as identified by the department through submission of Reports of Induced Termination of Pregnancy pursuant to 12VAC5-550-120 or other means and that are now subject to licensure may be licensed in their current buildings if such entities submit a plan with the application for licensure that will bring them into full compliance with this provision within two years from the date of licensure. Abortion procedures may take place in a procedure room, as detailed in section 3.8-3.1 of Part 3 of the 2014 guidelines, except that minimum square footage requirements for procedure rooms used for the provision of surgical abortion do not need to be greater than 120 square feet, with a minimum room dimension of 10 feet and a minimum clear dimension of three feet at each side and at the foot of the bed. Rooms designed in accordance with section 3.8 3.2 of Part 3 of the 2014 guidelines are not required for abortion facilities. Section 3.7 3.6.13.1(2) of Part 3 of the 2014 guidelines shall not apply to facilities that do not have a room designed in accordance with section 3.8 3.2. Architectural drawings and specifications for all new construction or for additions, alterations, or renovations to any existing building shall be dated, stamped with professional seal, and signed by the architect. The architect shall certify that the drawings and specifications were prepared to conform to the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code (13VAC5-63) and be consistent with the applicable sections of the 2014 guidelines. The certification shall be forwarded to the Office of Licensure and Certification of the Virginia Department of Health. B. In order to determine whether the abortion | facility | facility's design and construction is | in compliance [consistent with ] this provision [the applicable sections of the 2014 guidelines, the

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

397	commissioner may obtain additional information from the facility or its architect   concerning the
398	design and construction of the facility [.]
399	DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (12VAC5-412)
400	Guidelines for Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities, 2010 Edition, Part 1 and
401	Sections 3.1-1 through 3.1-8 and 3.7 of Part 3[2014 Guidelines for Design and Construction of
402	Hospitals and Outpatient Facilities, Facilities Guidelines Institute (formerly of the American
403	Institute of Architects), Washington, D.C.
404	Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care.
405	Circulation. November 2, 2010, Volume 122, Issue 18 Suppl 3, American Heart Association,
406	7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231-4596
407	(http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/vol122/18_suppl_3/).
408	Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 20102015, Centers for Disease
409	Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
410	(http://www.cdc.gov/std/tg2015/default.htm)
411	[Guide to Infection Prevention for Outpatient Settings: Minimum Expectations for Safe Care,
412	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human
413	Services (http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/prevent/prevent_pubs.html) (http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/pdfs/guid
414	elines/Outpatient-Care-Guide-withChecklist.pdf).]
415	[2015 Standards for Ambulatory Care] Standards for Ambulatory Care, Rights and
416	Responsibilities of the Individual, 2011, [The Joint Commission, 1515 W. 22nd Street, Suite
417	1300W, Oak Brook, IL 60523, telephone <u>1-770-238-0454</u> 1-877-223-2866, email
418	jcrcustomerservice@pbd.com.]
419	Bloodborne Pathogens - OSHA's Bloodborne Pathogens Standard, OSHA Fact Sheet and
420	Quick Reference Guide, 2011 U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration.